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#### Abstract

The territories that form FATA consist of seven 'political agencies'-Bajaur, Mohmand, Khyber, Orakzai, Kurram, North Waziristan, and South Waziristan-and six smaller zones, called 'Frontier Regions' (FRs) in the districts of Bannu, Dera Ismail Khan, Kohat, Lakki Marwat, Peshawar and Tank. To the north and east, the tribal areas are bounded by the North West Frontier Province (NWFP), while on the south lies the province of Balochistan. In the south-east, FATA joins the Punjab province.The Durand Line, which separates Pakistan from Afghanistan, forms the western border of


 FATA.Starting with the 1979 Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, the last three decades have seen turmoil and instability across the border spill over into FATA. During the same period, FATA has remained one of the most insular and isolated corners of the country, cut off from the mainstream of Pakistani society. Its people have seen few of the benefits of development activities launched in their own area and have failed to share in the progress achieved elsewhere in the country. Increasingly impoverished and marginalised, they have also become vulnerable to exploitation at the hands of criminal and extremist elements.

The socioeconomic indicators of FATA suggest that it is one of the poorest areas of the country. The key reasons of the historical development lag are attributed to resource and capacity constraints, scarce economic activities and socio-cultural barriers and law \& order situation. A number of projects were started under successive FATA Annual Development Programmes (ADPs), however, meager financial allocations coupled with increased development cost have resulted in huge throwforward liabilities. FATA ADP has throwforward liabilities of more than Rs. 40 billion. With current level of funding by the Federal Government it would take atleast four years to complete the ongoing projects of the FATA ADP. Thus it leads to poor visibility and impact of the development interventions. Even with increased allocation since year 2002, the per capita government funded development investment in FATA (Rs. 905/- or US\$ 11.30) stands very low against the national per capita government funded development investment (Rs. 2044/or US\$ 25.55). This issue is more compounded due to the fact that there is also no private investment being made in FATA.
The cost of development and construction is also high mainly due to lack of availability of local material, human resources and machinery. Similarly, limited resources coupled with increased procurement rates have further impacted the development and cost of construction in FATA. Moreover, the ongoing conflict in FATA has severely impacted the already limited economic activity as there has been significant increase in the flight of capital and human resource from the conflict ridden pockets of FATA.
Another phenomenon is that the ongoing conflict has limited the access of tribesmen to market places in settled districts of NWFP and vice versa. Resultantly, the cost of living has increased manifold. Moreover, there have been frequent incidents of blasting of public infrastructure including electricity pylons, schools, health facilities etc. resulting in poor service delivery. The cost of protection of these facilities and public life has also increased manifold due to the on going conflict in the area. It may not be wrong to state that due to the ongoing conflict, most of the socio-economic indicators of FATA have regressed instead of making progress towards betterment of the local populace. It also appears that there is no quick fix of the crises. Undoing this damage will require a long term commitment, both political as well as financial, that would address not only the brick and mortar reconstruction but also try to heal the psychological scars which have marked the population.
To effectively respond to the development challenges, FATA Secretariat has prepared the FATA Sustainable Development Plan (2006-15) which provides a framework for development interventions in FATA. The Plan identifies lack of availability of authentic data for informed decision making as one of the key cross cutting issue in FATA. Therefore the Plan envisages creation of an authentic baseline in different sectors.

In line with the objectives of FATA Sustainable Development Plan and recognizing the need for an authentic baseline, FATA Secretariat selected the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) methodology to fill the data requirement gaps. The methodology, which has been successfully implemented in more than 70 countries in connection with the monitoring of the World Summit Goals for Children, has been used to produce the first ever comprehensive survey on human development at the Agency and Frontier Region (FR) level in FATA - the "FATA Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey".

FATA Secretariat in partnership with UNICEF, Federal Bureau of Statistics, GoNWFP Bureau of Statistics
and WFP, carried out the survey which is first of its kind in the history of FATA. On successful completion, FATA Secretariat is pleased to present the report of the FATA MICS 2007-08. The results will make a valuable contribution to the end users for effective development planning in FATA and would provide a basis for future surveys of similar nature.

MICS FATA report is comparable, in terms of data quality, with any MICS survey carried out in Pakistan and international standard surveys. The report has been prepared, with inputs from UNICEF, Federal Bureau of Statistics, NWFP Provincial Bureau of Statistics and WFP, on the latest international standard of MICS3, recently developed by UNICEF. All the tools are based on the models and standards developed by the global MICS project under MICS3, designed to collect information on the situation of children and women in countries around the world. MICS FATA covers 76 indicators vital for development planning in FATA and required by donors and planners.
We must ensure these efforts are not in vain. The Planning and Development Department, FATA Secretariat is therefore encouraging government officials at FATA Secretariat and Agency/FR level and the academicians to make ample use of the information and analysis provided in the report to improve the planning, implementation and monitoring of social services for the people in FATA.

## Planning and Development Department <br> FATA Secretariat, Peshawar, Pakistan <br> April 2009

## FRAMEWORK

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

T
his report is based on the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) completed in the Federally Administered Tribal Area (FATA) of Pakistan, conducted in 2007 by the FATA Secretariat with the financial and technical assistance of UNICEF. The Vulnerability Analysis and Mapping (VAM) unit of the World Food Programme has carried out the validation and analysis of the data and produced the report.
The Federally Administered Tribal Area (FATA) is a special region of Pakistan comprised of seven agencies and six frontier Regions (FRs) along the border of Afghanistan, covering an area of $27,220 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ( 10,507 square miles). About 3,341 million people belonging to various tribes are living in this area under their own century-old rules and regulations.
The MICS survey is first of its kind in FATA, and provides valuable information on the situation of children, women and families. Development agencies will therefore find the results useful in planning development interventions in FATA. It is generally based on the need to monitor progress towards goals and targets emanating from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of childfocused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and sub-national levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions..." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)
The Plan of Action (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."
Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. Both of these commitments build upon promises made by the international community at the 1990 World Summit for Children.
By signing these international agreements, governments committed themselves to improving conditions for children and agreed to monitor progress towards that end. UNICEF was assigned a supporting role in this task (see box).
The Government of Pakistan emphasises the need to meet the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Two policy frameworks address the Millennium Development Goals in Pakistan. The Medium-Term Development Framework (2005-2010) incorporates MDG-based poverty reduction as part of its strategy. Whereas, the Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP) II for 2007-2009, under formulation, proposes a strategy capitalizing on the demographic transition in Pakistan through broad-based growth in a globalizing world linked with poverty reduction, employment generation and management. A costing exercise was recently concluded to identify resources required to achieve a number of the MDGs in the education, health, water and sanitation sectors. The selection of indicators, their disaggregation, and
data sources remain a priority issue. With a strong growth focus in the PRSP II, linkages between growth and poverty indicators will remain a challenge. This report presents the results of the indicators covered in the survey.

### 1.2. Survey Objectives

Primary objectives of the MICS FATA 2007 were:

- To provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in FATA;
- To furnish data needed for monitoring progress toward goals established in the Millennium Declaration, the goals of $A$ World Fit For Children (WFFC), and other internationally agreed upon goals, as a basis for future action;
- To contribute to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in FATA and to strengthen technical expertise in the design, implementation, and analysis of such systems.
- To provide input for assessing selected MDG indicators.


## 2. Sample and Survey Methodology

### 2.1. Survey Management

T
he survey process was governed by a Steering Committee chaired by the Additional Chief Secretary, FATA. Members included representatives from selected line Directorates (Education, Health, Public Works \& Services and Local Government \& Rural Development), the Planning and Development Department FATA, the Federal Bureau of Statistics, the NWFP provincial Bureau of Statistics and UNICEF. The Steering Committee approved all major issues pertaining to the survey such as final questionnaire, changes in the data collection methodology and the final report. Political Agents of the FATA were called into this forum when and if necessary.
A Planning and Coordination Group chaired by the Secretary Planning and Development Department FATA was involved in the preparation of the survey tools and advised the Steering Committee on the survey implementation. Members of this group were representatives from line departments, the NWFP provincial Bureau of Statistics, the chief survey coordinator-FATA Secretariat, the technical survey coordinator and a national consultant engaged by UNICEF.
An Operational Group chaired by the chief survey coordinator-FATA Secretariat was responsible for day-to-day management and logistics of the survey. It included the technical coordinator, the national consultant, an administration and finance officer and an assistant technical coordinator.
The survey was implemented by the FATA Secretariat in partnership with Federal Bureau of Statistics, NWFP provincial Bureau of Statistics and UNICEF. The survey was funded both by FATA Secretariat and UNICEF. A local data management firm was engaged for the data processing. However, a validation exercise was deemed necessary following review of preliminary data tables and validation and reanalysis of the data set and final report writing was entrusted with WFP's Vulnerability Analysis and Mapping Unit of Pakistan.

### 2.2. Sample Design

The sample for MICS FATA was designed by the Federal Bureau of Statistics, to provide estimates of various indicators on the situation of children, women and households in general at the FATA, Agency /FR level and for urban and rural areas. The sample was selected in two stages. In the first stage, 334 clusters as primary sampling units (PSUs) were systematically selected. Listing was conducted in the sample PSUs. In the second stage, households were randomly selected within each PSU. In rural PSUs, 16 households were picked for enumeration, and 12 households were selected from the urban PSUs. The total sample had 317 PSUs in rural and 17 PSUs in urban areas. The survey covered 4,608 households in rural areas and 168 households in 4 urban locations of Kurram and Khyber agencies.

### 2.3. Questionnaires

Three sets of questionnaires were used in the survey: 1) a household questionnaire which was used to collect information on all household members, the household, and the dwelling; 2) a women's questionnaire administered in each household to all women aged 15-49 years; and 3) a Children under-5 questionnaire, administered to mothers or caretakers of all children under the age of 5 living in the household.
The Household Questionnaire included the following modules:

- Household listing
- Education
- Water and sanitation
- Household characteristics
- Child labour
- Maternal mortality
- Consumption of iodized salt

The Questionnaire for Individual Women was administered to all women aged 15-49 years living in the households, and included the following modules:

- Child Mortality
- Tetanus Toxoid
- Maternal and Newborn health
- HIVIAIDS awareness

The Questionnaire for Children Under Five was administered to mothers or prime caretakers of children under 5 years of age 1 living in the households. Normally, the questionnaire was administered to mothers of under-5 children; in cases when the mother was not listed in the household roster, a primary female caretaker for the child was identified and interviewed. The questionnaire included the following modules:

- Birth registration
- Vitamin A
- Breastfeeding
- Treatment of illness and care
- Anthropometric data

The questionnaires are based on the MICS3 model questionnaire. The English version of the questionnaire was translated into Urdu language and was pre-tested in March 2007. Based on the results of the pre-test, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires. Copies of the FATA MICS questionnaires are available with FATA Secretariat and on UNICEF Website.
In addition to the administration of questionnaires, fieldwork teams tested the cooking salt in the households for iodine content, and measured the weight and height of children aged under 5 years. Details and findings of these measurements are provided in the respective sections of the report.

### 2.4. Training and Fieldwork

The interviewers have been adequately trained to collect data and ask questions. Training included lectures on interviewing techniques, questionnaire content, and mock interviews. Three trainings were conducted for 88 participants including enumerators (male-female) supervisors and senior supervisors. The enumerators were selected from the health and education directorate FATA and were mostly Lady Health Visitors (LHVs), Medical technicians and teachers, while supervisors and senior supervisors were selected from amongst the staff of the provincial Bureau of Statistics.
The data was collected by 12 teams. During the first phase, each team comprised of three interviewers ( 2 female, 1 male) with one supervisor for 2 teams. Afterwards the number of teams was increased to 20. An exclusive training was conducted for the enumerators and supervisors of FR Tank and South Waziristan Agency. The training was conducted at FR Tank. The editor was responsible for data editing prior to data entry and a data entry operator was responsible for entering the corrected data.
The fieldwork encountered numerous challenges given the extraordinary political, economic and security situation prevailing in the FATA. The gender dimension in particular posed a major challenge to the survey. Female family members in the FATA do not normally move within their own communities, let alone outside of their communities and are expected to be accompanied by male family members. The appearance of female enumerators that were not from the communities themselves and carrying out interviews inside the households was viewed with suspicion in many areas and was even refused in some. This situation created a difficult environment for female interviewers.

Given the prevailing law and order situation, the Steering Committee decided to adopt a change in fieldwork approaches in a number of agencies. In Bajour agency, households were requested to meet at a nearby health facility and bring young children along. This led to a situation whereby the household members were interviewed by the enumerators in a central location.
The fieldwork started in April 2007. Eight teams were deployed to Kurram Agency and 4 teams to FR Peshawar. The fieldwork was completed during September 2007.

### 2.5. Data Processing

Data processing was not centralized. Instead, the editor and data entry operators accompanied the teams to different agencies and Frontier Regions.
Data was entered on twenty computers using MS Access software. In order to ensure quality control, all questionnaires were double entered and internal consistency checks were performed. Procedures and
standard programs developed under the global MICS3 project and adapted to the MICS FATA questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began simultaneously with data collection in April 2007, and was completed in September 2007. Data was analyzed using version 14 of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software programme and the model syntax and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF for this purpose. In addition, other software, like Anthro (WHO), Q5 (UNICEF), Addawin and data simulation/verification techniques were used in the analysis of various modules to ensure accurate and quality results.

## 3. Sample Coverage, Characteristics of Households and Respondents

### 3.1. Sample coverage

he total sample size was 5,276 households in 334 clusters covering 7 agencies and 5 Frontier Regions. Due to the security situation, non-response and/or population displacement, 34 clusters were dropped, including one entire agency, North Waziristan, one cluster in Kurram, two clusters in Khyber and one cluster in Mohmand agencies. A total of 4,296 households were interviewed.

After applying the data quality checking and validation process, 499 households were dropped. This left 3,797 households.

### 3.2. Characteristics of Households

According to the 1998 Population Census, the sex ratio of FATA was recorded as 109 males per 100 females, while the MICS survey 2007 estimated it as 108.7 rounded to 109. This shows that the result of MICS 2007 is close to the actual population trend of 1998 census. The interagency sex ratio varies from the Census data, but falls within the acceptable limits. Average family size in FATA as per the 1998 Census was 8.7, while the MICS FATA estimate was 8.2.

Data on different age groups in the population was not available as it was not calculated for the 1998 Census, rather only the male population was counted. In order to make a reasonable comparison, the evidence from NWFP can be taken as an example. According to the NWFP 1998 Census, the percentages of all the specified age groups of 5 years interval are quite close to the estimated figures of MICS FATA, except for age group "0-4". In MICS FATA, age group " $0-4$ " has $12.1 \%$ of the total population, which is less by $4.2 \%$ than NWFP for the same age group. The decline is possibly due to decline in population growth during the last 5 years. The cross-border war, militants' movements and security crisis accompanied by decline in income sources has resulted in decline of growth. The

Table-3.2: Sex ratio, 1998 Census Verses MICS FATA, 2007

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ <br> Census | MICS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | Ratio | Ratio |
| Bajour | 105 | 114 |
| Mohmand | 110 | 102 |
| Khyber | 114 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 100 | 107 |
| Kurram | 105 | 100 |
| N.Waziristan | 113 | - |
| S.Waziristan | 116 | 125 |
| FATA | 109 | 109 | recent baseline livelihood survey by World Food Programme in 4 FATA agencies (March 2008) estimated 12.6\% of population for the same age group.

The supposition for shifting the " $0-4$ " age group population to the 2 nd higher group, i.e., " $5-9$ " is not valid as the " $5-9$ " age group population is almost similar to the NWFP population ratio of the same group. Other indicators, like family size and sex ratio also suggest the trend of the population age groups in line with 1998 census results.
The distribution of survey population by age and sex is given in Graph 3.1. The population pyramid reflects 31,113 people listed in the survey, where 16,203 were men and 14,910 women. These figures indicate that that sex ratio is 109 males per 100 females, similar to the 1998 Census on FATA.
All the age groups by male and female ratio shows a normal trend except for age group $50-54$, which is in favour of females, and age groups 60-64 and 70+, which are in favour of males. Such variations normally occur due to the comparatively higher death rates of a particular sex in a particular age group

Table:3.3 Population by age group
and migration of male family members to other parts of the country or abroad for employment.
The NWFP 1998 Census data also shows the same trend where the ratio of age group "20-24" to "40-44" is in favour of females. The age groups of "20-24" and "25-29" in 1998 Census of NWFP have the same level of difference as mentioned for the age group " $50-54$ " in the FATA MICS.
The population distribution from the survey closely resembles the pattern seen from the 1998 Census of NWFP and also the overall sex ratio of 1998 Census of FATA. The population size steadily diminishes with the growth in the population age. A sharp decline is observed beyond the age group of "15-19". A decline in the male-female ratio at age group 40-44 and beyond suggests migration of males to other areas in the country or abroad for earnings and many of them do not come back to the area. The population of children aged 0-14 years contributes $43.4 \%$ according to the survey.

| Age group | MICS <br> FATA | 1998 <br> census <br> NWFP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-4$ | 12.1 | 16.3 |
| $5-9$ | 16.9 | 17.0 |
| $10-14$ | 14.4 | 13.9 |
| $15-19$ | 11.7 | 10.5 |
| $20-24$ | 8.7 | 8.2 |
| $25-29$ | 7.1 | 6.7 |
| $30-34$ | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| $35-39$ | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| $40-44$ | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| $45-49$ | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| $50-54$ | 3.9 | 3.1 |
| $55-59$ | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| $60-64$ | 2.4 | 1.9 |
| $65-69$ | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| $70+$ | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Total | 100 | 100 |



### 3.3. Characteristics of Respondents

Table HH-4 and HH-5 provide information on the background characteristics of female respondents "1549" years of age and of children less than 5 years of age. Besides providing information on the background characteristics of women and children, the tables also aim to show the number of observations in each background category. These categories are used in the subsequent tabulations of the report.

According to the distribution of childbearing age women, the age group "15-19" has the highest percentage of such women (11.9\%) in all age groups. The second highest age group is "20-24" with $9 \%$ of the population of childbearing age women.
Table HH-4 suggests that highest percentage of

Graph 3.2: Distribution of childbearing age women by fiveyear age groups, FATA, 2007

women of childbearing age reside in Bajour Agency (12.3\%), followed by Khyber, Orakzai and Mohmand agencies with $12.1 \%, 11.1 \%$ and $9.9 \%$ respectively. The lowest percentage was recorded in FR Tank. Around $96 \%$ of this group live in rural areas, and $89.5 \%$ reported that they had given birth.


Table HH5 shows that the FATA population of under5 males was $50.9 \%$, while females contributed $49.1 \%$. Around $5.5 \%$ reside in urban areas.

## 4. Data Quality

```
he complex political, economic and power dynamics of the area, a unique governance structure which differs from the rest of the country, and the prevailing crisis on the border with Afghanistan, has created disturbance and insecurity in FATA.
```

Keeping in mind the extraordinary security risk and emergence of various militant groups, the fieldwork was subject to frequent interruption and restrictions in mobility.

These issues affected the fieldwork and compelled survey staff to interview the households away from their houses or in a centralized location in parts of a few agencies.
In order to maintain data quality, the Vulnerability Analysis and Mapping (VAM) unit of the World Food Programme thoroughly examined all aspects of the survey and conducted validation exercises. After detailed investigation, some problems were noticed in the following areas of the survey:

### 4.1. Interviews

1. Some households were not properly interviewed, either because the team could not meet with an adult from the household or because the location of the interview was far away from the respondents' residence. Such cases were found in Bajour agency (except one tehsil) and FR Lakki.
2. The combination of enumerators (male/female) could not be maintained in certain areas and thus women and infant related modules were not accurate. Male enumerators interviewed male household members in FR Bannu, FR Lakki, FR Tank and South Waziristan Agency. On the other hand, female enumerators interviewed male members of the selected households in parts of Bajour Agency.
3. The verification process was not always consistent. For example, questionnaires were sometimes verified by only one person, increasing the risk of human error.
4. There was no proper cleaning of data sets. The datasets from all agencies were found to contain mistakes, which could be avoided if properly cleaned.

Table 3.1: Children and women modules
interview status

| Agency/FR <br> Bajour | Interviewed by <br> F2M |
| :--- | :---: |
| FR Bannu | M2M |
| FR DI Khan | F2F |
| FR Kohat | F2F |
| FR Lakki | M2M |
| FR Peshawar | F2F |
| FR Tank | M2M |
| Khyber | F2F |
| Kurram | F2F |
| Mohmand | F2F |
| Orakzai | F2F |
| South Waziristan | M2M |

F2M=female to
M2M=male to male
F2F=female to female

### 4.2. Data entry and processing

1. There were a number of mistakes in the soft form of data. Such mistakes were observed while comparing various modules of the dataset.
2. Mistakes in data were in the range of above $5 \%$ in various modules. In certain cases, different figures were recorded in modules giving the same results, like BF1_a (breastfed for 1 hr ) and BF1_b (breastfed for 1 day), where both the columns were filled simultaneously, with different figures for a number of entries.
3. Missing data was not properly coded.
4. Some of the outliers were found in the datasets.
5. Labels and values were not defined.
6. Two different sets of data were observed for the same variable, without explanation.
7. Some of the results were not in line with empirical evidence from FATA or NWFP, such as family size, age group population and sex ratio.

### 4.3. Validation

In order to check data quality, a validation exercise was undertaken in four agencies and two FRs. Thirty households were randomly selected and interviewed. Data collected during validation was compared with the hard copy as well as with the soft data from the regular survey. It was observed that in some cases, names of the respondents did not match, however, number of family members was found to be correct. Proper techniques were not used to check the age of the family members, especially children, and were thus recorded as missing or unknown. This could have been avoided.

The validation process also identified a few mistakes in the soft form of the data and suggested a thorough screening of the dataset.

### 4.4. Action for data improvement

As a result of the personal observations of the enumerators, validation exercise, random checking of questionnaires, review of soft data and usage of advanced data verification software, 499 questionnaires were dropped from the survey. Poor quality questionnaires that deviated from normal trends or those with obvious mistakes were excluded from the analysis. This resulted in an $80 \%$ response rate with standard error (SE) Mean of 1.6. The women's response rate was $88 \%$ with SE Mean of 1.1 , while children's response rate was $88 \%$ with SE Mean of 0.8 . Details are given in table-HH-1. With the exclusion of such questionnaires, the dataset signaled a reasonable dispersion and showed a close proximity, for basic indicators, with the 1998 census data of FATA and NWFP.
In addition, some of the modules were also reviewed, especially of infants/children and women in areas where the enumerator was male or the respondent was male, both women and child module results were dropped. As a result, two agencies and three FRs namely, Bajour, South Waziristan, FR Bannu, FR Lakki and FR tank were excluded from the analysis for child and women's modules.

## RESULTS

## 5. Infant, Child and Maternal Mortality

The International Convention on the Rights of the Child states that member states must take adequate measures to reduce infant and child mortality levels. The reduction of infant, child and maternal mortality is one of the key goals of the Millennium Development Goals and the Plan of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD, Cairo, 1994). Their levels are one of the basic indicators that characterize the health of a country's population.
Monitoring progress towards this goal is an important but difficult task. Measuring childhood mortality may seem easy, but attempts using direct questions, such as "Has anyone in this household died during last year?" gave inaccurate results. Using direct measures of child mortality from birth histories is time consuming, more expensive and requires greater attention to training and supervision. Alternatively, indirect methods were developed. Indirect methods minimize the pitfalls of memory lapses, inaccurate or misinterpreted definitions, and poor interviewing techniques.
Infant mortality is the probability of dying before the first birthday. The infant mortality rate is the number of infants who die before their first birthday, per 1,000 live births. Whereas, child mortality is the probability of dying during the period between the birth and the fifth birthday. The child mortality rate is the number of deaths among children under five years of age per 1,000 live births.

For the MICS FATA survey, infants and under five mortality rates were calculated based on the indirect estimation techniques using the Coale-Demeny Models. The data used in the estimation was the mean number of children born for $0-5$ years age group to women of "15-49" years of age and the proportion of children that had survived for the same group of women. The technique converts the data into probabilities of dying by taking into account both the mortality risks to which children are exposed, and their length of exposure to the risk of mortality, assuming a particular model of age pattern mortality.
According to the survey results, the under-5 mortality rate in FATA was 104 per 1,000 live births, while infant mortality rate was 86 per 1,000 births. The mortality rate for males was higher than that of females in the case of both infants as well as under-5 year children. There is no empirical evidence of mortality rates in FATA and therefore, no comparison for improvement or otherwise, can be made. According to the NWFP MICS 2001, infant mortality rate was 79 per 1,000 live births and 116 for under-five children. The mortality rates for infants, in Pakistan, as per the Demographic and Health Survey 2006-07 statistics were 80 for males and 73 for females, and 93 for males as well as females in the case of under-five age children per 1,000 live births. Hence, both infant and under-5 child mortality rates for males are higher in FATA when compared to national levels.

Graph-CM.1: Infant and under -5 mortality rates, FATA, 2007


[^0]Maternal Mortality is defined as a woman's death caused by pregnancy complications (irrespective of duration and site), occurring during pregnancy or up to 42 days after pregnancy. Thus, the rate of maternal mortality is extracted from the number of women who die due to complications during pregnancy, delivery or postpartum period per 100,000 live births.

The most common fatal complication is post-partum haemorrhage. Sepsis, complications of unsafe absorption, prolonged or obstructed labour and the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, especially eclampsia, claim further lives. These complications can occur at any time during pregnancy and child birth without warning and require prompt access to quality obstetric services equipped to provide lifesaving drugs, antibiotics and transfusions and to perform caesarean sections and other surgical interventions that prevent deaths from obstructed labour, eclampsia and intractable haemorrhage.
The measurement of maternal mortality rates is difficult. Even countries with developed statistical systems often underestimate the rates, due to incorrect classification of the causes of death. Hence, indirect estimation techniques are often used.
The "sisterhood method" was applied in this survey for measuring maternal mortality, as recommended by WHO and other UN agencies. The method records deaths of respondents' sisters during pregnancy and delivery. Using this technique helps estimate the probability of maternal mortality. It should be used with caution, however, because of the high probability of estimation error. According to the survey results (Table RH.6), the maternal mortality rate (MMR) in FATA is 380 female deaths per 100,000 live births, higher than the national level MMR of 276 and NWFP, MMR of 275 per 100,000 live births (2006-07).
Thus, infant, child and maternal mortality rates are relatively high in FATA as compared to NWFP or Pakistan. Infant and maternal mortality is determined by a multitude of causes: poverty, economic, social, and cultural status, conflict, uncertainty, the quality of public health systems, demographic structure and behaviour etc.
It is well known that infant mortality is considered to be one of the most sensitive indicators of the level of poverty or socio-economic and human development. Addressing infant, child and maternal mortality is therefore a priority for governments, donor communities, UN agencies and local/district authorities.

[^1]
## 6. Nutritional Status

The nutritional status of children is a reflection of their overall health. When children consume an adequate diet, are not exposed to repeated illness, and are well cared for, they can reach their growth potential and are considered well nourished. Malnourished children are at high risk of morbidity and mortality. Malnutrition during early childhood impacts on mental development and learning ability later in life.
In a well-nourished population, there is a standard distribution of height and weight for children under the age of five. The height and weight of malnourished children are lower than the expected average for well-nourished children of the same age. Malnourishment in a population can, therefore, be gauged by comparing average height and weight of these children to a reference distribution of children of the same age from a well-nourished, healthy population.
The reference population used in this report is the WHO growth reference, which is recommended for use by UNICEF and WHO. Nutritional status indicators can be expressed in Z-scores, or Standard Deviation Units (SD), which show how the children surveyed differ from the mean.
Weight for age is a measurement of both acute and chronic hypotrophy. Children whose weight is more than 2SD units below the average weight of children of the same age in the reference population are considered moderately or severely underweight, while those whose weight for their age is more than 3SD units below the mean are classified as severely underweight. Measurement for the weight of infants and young children is a time-tested method in strategies to prevent child hypotrophy.
Children whose weight for height is more than 2SD units below the mean weight for height of children in the reference population are classified as wasted (hypotrophic), while those whose weight for height is more than 3SD units below the average are considered severely wasted. Wasting or thinness is usually the result of a recent illness or acute nutritional deficiency.
Overfeeding of children on the other hand mostly underlies over-nutrition or fatness, which can be measured also by weight for height. Children whose weight for height is more than 2SD units above the mean weight of children of the same height in the reference population are considered obese. At the lower end of the weight for height distribution of 0-59 month old children, significant seasonal shifts may be observed in this indicator in association with fluctuations in food availability or disease prevalence. However, this indicator has not been examined in this survey.
The distribution of children classified into each of these categories, based on anthropometric measurements taken during the survey, is presented in Table NU.1. Children who were not weighed and measured and those whose measurements are outside a plausible range are excluded from consideration. Overall, the information in Table NU. 1 reports data from 90 percent of surveyed children.
Of children aged 0-59 months, $33.2 \%$ are underweight and $16.3 \%$ are severely underweight. Wasting occurs in $13.1 \%$ of children and severe wasting in $6.6 \%$. The most extensive nutritional problem in the FATA among the under five year-old children is underweight or retarded growth, which reflects chronic poor nutrition.
The nutritional indicators vary significantly by sex. Underweight prevalence in males is significantly higher than females ( $36.8 \%$ in males as compared to $29.5 \%$ in females). Similarly, the severely underweight percentage is $18.8 \%$ for males as compared to $13.7 \%$ for females. In rural areas, more children are underweight (33.7\%) and severely wasted ( $6.8 \%$ ), which exceeds similar indicators in urban areas where $25.3 \%$ are underweight and $3.4 \%$ severely wasted.
The pattern of malnutrition (Graph-5) shows that both moderate and severe underweight rates vary among agencies. The highest rate of moderate underweight (below -2SD) was recorded in South Waziristan and followed by FR Tank ( $42.5 \%, 41.9 \%$ respectively). The lowest rate was prevailed in Bajour agency ( $26.8 \%$ ). The severe underweight rate was recorded to be the highest in Mohmond agency ( $21.3 \%$ ), and lowest in Bajour agency (11.5\%).

Graph NU-1: Children under weight by agency, FATA, 2007


The Standard Deviation (SD) for under-weight children is 1.56, for urban areas it is 1.62 and for rural 1.55. SDs for agency-wise indicators are given in table NU-1. The results should be used with caution keeping in view the SD for each category.

### 6.1. Breastfeeding and Complementary Feeding

Breastfeeding for the first six months is essential, while for the first few years of a child's life it is an economical and safe way to protect children from infection and provide an ideal source of nutrients. Lack of breastfeeding denies the infant an opportunity for early bonding and socialization. Mothers may stop breastfeeding too soon and turn to the use of infant formula or other locally made compounds, which can contribute to growth stunting and micronutrient malnutrition. Bottle feeding is unsafe in households where clean water is not readily available. At the age of six months, the nutritional needs of infants can no longer be satisfied by breastfeeding alone. This is why complementary feeding needs to start from this age onward to make sure that young children continue to grow properly and stay healthy. The World Fit for Children goal states that children should be exclusively breastfed for the first six months and that breastfeeding should continue along with safe, appropriate and adequate complementary feeding up to the second year and beyond.
The Table-NU-2 and Graph-NU-2 gives details of breastfeeding by mothers during the first one hour and within the first day after birth. In FATA, traditionally, women do not breastfeed children immediately but rather wait for few hours or even a whole day. The result of the survey shows that after giving birth, only $15.6 \%$ of mothers start breastfeeding their newborn within one hour and $26.7 \%$ start within one day (Table NU.2, Graph NU.2).

Graph NU 2: Percentage of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who breastfed their baby within one hour and within one day of birth, FATA, 2007


Only $23.8 \%$ of children below six months of age from the date of interview were exclusively breastfed, however, a level much lower than considered optimal. The highest percentage of women who started breastfeeding infants within one day is recorded in Khyber agency, followed by Kurram. These agencies are comparatively better for education and health indicators in general.

In Table NU.3, breastfeeding status results are based on reports from mothers/ caretakers on children's consumption of food and fluids in the 24 hours prior to the interview. Exclusively breastfed refers to infants who received only breast milk and vitamins, mineral supplements, or medicine. The table shows exclusive breastfeeding of infants during the first six months of life (separately for 0-3 months and 0-5 months), as well as complementary feeding of children 6-9 months and continued breastfeeding of children at 12-15 and 20-23 months of age.
Mothers/caretaker responses indicate that exclusive breastfeeding during the first three months of life among male infants is less prevalent than among female infants ( $42.6 \%$ vs. $46.4 \%$ respectively). Moreover, exclusive breastfeeding for 0-3 month children is about $4.6 \%$ less prevalent in urban areas than in rural areas. It was also noticed that exclusive breastfeeding for $0-3$ months infants in the poorest group is less prevalent as compared to the richest group.
After six months of age, $31.1 \%$ of the infants started receiving solid or semi-solid foods in addition to breastfeeding. About $87 \%$ of infants at $12-15$ months of age were continuing to breastfeed in FATA.

Figure NU. 3w Infant feeding pattern by age: Percentage distribution of children aged under 3 years by feeding pattern by age group.

FATA, 2006


Percentage of infants exclusively breastfed was $74.6 \%$ in the first month, which started declining over the period of time. About half of the infants at the age of $4-5$ months were exclusively breastfed. The occurrence of initial exclusive breastfeeding is lowest in male infants and in urban areas. This demonstrates that there is substantial room for improvements in infant and young child feeding practices. Continued breastfeeding up to two years and beyond is established among a significant proportion of young children in FATA (Figure NU.3w), however, it is a matter of concern for good child feeding practices.
Approximately $38.6 \%$ infants of $0-6$ months are exclusively breastfed in FATA. This percentage is higher in rural ( $38.7 \%$ ) than urban areas ( $37.5 \%$ ). There is no significant difference between male and female infants in terms of exclusive breastfeeding in the same age group. Agency/FR wise percentage of exclusive breastfeeding infants (0-6 months) significantly vary. The highest percentage of infants of the same age group exclusively breastfed was recorded in Mohmand agency (51.5\%), while other agencies were almost with in the range of $36-40 \%$, except FR Kohat.

Graph NU.4b: Percentage of 0-6 months infants exclusively breastfed, FATA,


### 6.2. Consumption of lodized Salt

Illness caused by iodine deficiency (iodine deficiency disorders or IDD) is a global problem. A diet low in iodine leads to diminished mental function and intellectual performance, thereby reducing the education performance of the future generation. lodine deficiency during pregnancy can lead to increased miscarriages and stillbirths, and in extreme cases it causes endemic cretinism. Iodine deficiency can be prevented by the low-cost strategy of iodizing all the salt for human consumption, including the salt used by the food industry, and for feeding animals.

Figure NU. 5: Percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt, FATA, 2007


Table NU. 5 shows the results of the household salt samples that were tested with a solution that detects iodine. The Government of Pakistan has started a programme for the iodization of salt through out the country. This initiative is assisted by UN agencies.

Household salt was tested for iodine during household interviews. The results of on-the-spot tests showed that only $5 \%$ households consume adequately iodized salt (15+ PPM). However, 12.7\% families consume inadequately iodized salt with below 15 PPM. Salt was more likely to be adequately iodized in urban than in rural areas ( $11.8 \%$ and $4.7 \%$, respectively). It is a matter of great concern that availability of iodized salt is inadequate and salt processors are not bound by the law to ensure iodization of salt.

As Figure NU. 5 shows, the percentage of households using adequately iodized salt was highest in Orakzai agency and almost nil in FR Lakki and FR Bannu.

### 6.3. Vitamin A Supplements (VAS)

Vitamin A deficiency or shortage impairs the immune system of infants and young children, increasing their chances of dying from common childhood illness. It can cause eye damage and blindness in children with severe or recurrent diarrhea or in those with a high fever from viral diseases such as measles. In a population with vitamin A deficiency, pregnant and lactating women are at a higher health risk. Yet this deficiency can easily be prevented with inexpensive supplements, food fortification, or otherwise improved dietary habits. Based on international guidelines endorsed by UNICEF and WHO, the Ministry of Health carries out mass distribution of high-dose vitamin A capsules for children aged 6-59 months twice a year.
In Table NU. 6 the status of vitamin A supplementation (VAS) of children and post-partum mothers is based on the recollection by mothers/caretakers of the six-month period prior to interview. Responses about VAS receipts were obtained for $90 \%$ of the $6-59$ month old children. Of the total children, mothers/caretakers of 2,142 who were born in the two years before the interview have provided information about whether they received a high-dose VAS within eight weeks after giving birth.
Within the six months prior to the MICS survey, $43.7 \%$ of the $6-59$ months old children received VAS, and $38.5 \%$ of children never received it. Nearly, $32 \%$ received VAS prior to last 6 months. In general, three out of four eligible children under five years old had benefitted from the national vitamin $A$ campaign.

Figure NU-6: Percentage of children aged 6-59 months who received a high dose of Vitamin A supplement in the last 6 months, FATA, 2007


The proportion of children who received a confirmed VAS within the last six months was higher in rural areas ( $45.7 \%$ vs. $21.6 \%$ ) than in urban areas. However, the intake of VAS prior to last 6 months was higher in urban ( $68.4 \%$ ) as compared to rural areas (28.7\%).
The age pattern of confirmed VAS receipts shows a modest decline after the age of two years. For children aged 6-11 months at the time of the survey, nearly $32 \%$ of their mothers reported that the infant had not received a supplement.
FR Kohat has the highest percentage of children who received VAS within the last 6 months, while FR Peshawar has the highest percentage of children who got VAS prior to the last 6 months. Both these regions are close to Peshawar city and thus have better access and awareness as compared to other agencies and FRs.

## 7. Child Health

### 7.1. Oral Rehydration Treatment of Children with Diarrhea

Diarrhea is the second leading cause of death among children under five worldwide. Most diarrhea related deaths in children are due to dehydration from loss of water and electrolytes from the body in liquid stools. Management of diarrhea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing fluid intake and continuing to feed the child are also important strategies for managing diarrhea.

The goals are to: 1) reduce by one half, deaths due to diarrhea among children under five by 2010 compared to 2000 (A World Fit For Children); and 2) reduce by two thirds the mortality rate among children under five by 2015 compared to 1990 (Millennium Development Goals). In addition, the World Fit For Children calls for a reduction in the incidence of diarrhea by 25 percent.
The indicators are:

- Prevalence of diarrhea
- Oral rehydration therapy (ORT)
- Home management of diarrhea
- (ORT or increased fluids) and continued feeding

In the MICS questionnaire, mothers (or caretakers) were asked to report whether their child had diarrhea in the two weeks prior to the survey. If so, the mother was asked a series of questions about what the child had to drink and eat during the episode and whether this was more or less than the normal food and liquids intake by the child.

The survey covered 2,414 children aged $0-59$ months. Of these, 451 children (19.3\%) had episodes of diarrhea in the two weeks preceding the survey (Table CH.4). As the analysis shows, female children suffer from diarrhea more frequently than male children (19.4\% males vs $17.3 \%$ females).

Figure-CH.5: Percentage of children with Diarrhea in the past 2 weeks, FATA, 2007


Children from urban areas had slightly higher episodes of diarrhea than children in rural areas. Incidence of diarrhea was the highest in Kurram agency at 25.46\%, and lowest in FR DI Khan (9.8\%).
Of the children who experienced diarrhea, nearly $70 \%$ received rehydration therapy (ORT), while 30\% did not receive any treatment. Use of ORT is higher in rural than urban ( $71 \%$ and $66 \%$ respectively) areas. Home treatment was more likely in rural areas (12\%) than for urban areas (4.9\%). Nearly 58.3\% had used ORS, $11.3 \%$ used homemade fluids and $19.7 \%$ pre-made ORS fluids.

### 7.2. Antibiotic Treatment of Children with Suspected Pneumonia

Globally, pneumonia is the leading cause of death in children. The prescription of antibiotics for children under five with suspected pneumonia is one of the most effective ways of fight it. Children with suspected pneumonia, besides having a fever or cough, often suffer from rapid or difficult breathing and other symptoms linked to disorders of the respiratory system.
Children with suspected pneumonia are those who have had an illness with a cough accompanied by rapid or difficult breathing and those whose symptoms were not due to a problem in the chest and a blocked nose. The indicators are:

- Prevalence of suspected pneumonia
- Care seeking for suspected pneumonia
- Antibiotic treatment for suspected pneumonia
- Knowledge of the danger signs of pneumonia

Survey respondents were asked if they had children who suffered from pneumonia within the past two weeks prior to the survey, and whether they received antibiotics during the same period or not and if care was sought outside the home. Table CH. 6 presents the prevalence of suspected pneumonia.
Nearly $8.5 \%$ of children aged $0-59$ months were reported to have symptoms of pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey. Of these children, $59.1 \%$ were taken to an appropriate health provider. Children with suspected pneumonia were taken to public hospital or health centre in $27.8 \%$, and to a village health worker in $2.3 \%$ of cases respectively. About $28.1 \%$ purchased medicines from the pharmacy.
The percentage of females taken to an appropriate provider was less than those of males ( $56.6 \%$ versus $63 \%$ ). Similarly, the group of $24-35$ months had more opportunity to get the services of appropriate providers than other age groups. Children in this age group have learned to communicate with parents and inform them about problems.
Table CH. 7 presents the use of antibiotics for the treatment of suspected pneumonia in under-5 years during the two weeks prior to the survey. In FATA, $86.9 \%$ of under-5 children with suspected pneumonia had received an antibiotic- $94.7 \%$ in urban areas and $86.1 \%$ in rural areas. About $86.6 \%$ of females and 87.2 of males received antibiotics for the treatment of suspected pneumonia. Receipt of antibiotics at the age group of $0-11$ is the highest among all other age groups for under-five.
Issues related to knowledge of danger signs of pneumonia are presented in Table CH.7A. Obviously, mothers' knowledge of the danger signs is an important determinant of care-seeking behaviour. Unfortunately, awareness about septum of pneumonia is a serious issue in tribal areas, where there are restrictions on the movement of women. Education levels are quite low and local expertise is almost non-existent. Women know very little about septum of many dangerous diseases including pneumonia.

### 7.3. Fuel Use

Solid fuels (biomass and coal) use is the traditional way to cook and heat. Owing to easy access and lack of availability of other sources of energy, its usage is quite common in rural areas. Heating and cooking with solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor pollution and is a major cause of health problems that can take the form of acute respiratory illnesses, particularly among children, as well as chronic obstructive illnesses of the lungs, cancer and other diseases. The use of a closed stove with a chimney decreases indoor pollution significantly.
According to survey data, $94 \%$ of all households use solid fuels for cooking (Table CH-8). There is a huge difference in urban and rural areas regarding the use of solid fuel. Around $95.5 \%$ of rural, as compared to $57.3 \%$ of urban areas, use solid fuel for cooking. Keeping in mind the nature of FATA society, no

Figure CH-8: Usage of solid fuel, FATA, 2007

large regional differences in solid fuel use exist. The most common source of solid fuel is fuel-wood, used by $89.8 \%$ of families in FATA.
Urban areas use improved, non-solid sources of fuel. Common sources include electricity and LPG Gas. Around $42.1 \%$ of households, in urban areas, use non-solid sources of fuel at home. Among agencies, Khyber was better off in terms of non-solid fuel usage. Similar is the case of FR Kohat and Kurram agencies. Wealth levels do not play a significant role in the utilization of non-solid fuel. Accessibility is a serious issue in FATA, where $90 \%$ of households using wood.
Methods used to burn fuel are important, with regard to indoor air pollution. Since the concentration of the pollutants is different when the same fuel is burnt in different stoves or fires, use of close stoves with a chimney minimizes indoor pollution, while open stove or fires without a chimney or hood means that there is no protection from the harmful effects of smoke.
A limited number of households use better method of fuel burning for cooking. Only $14.9 \%$ of the households use protected stoves with a chimney. The rest rely on traditional ways to burn fuel. There is no proper awareness about the dangers of smoke.

### 7.4. Immunization

The Pakistan Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI), with the technical and financial support of WHO/ UNICEF carries out regular immunization campaigns. This includes BCG vaccination against tuberculosis, DPT vaccine for the prevention of diphtheria, pertussis (whooping cough), and tetanus, vaccination against measles and polio, during the child's first year.
In Pakistan, according to information from the vaccination records and mothers' recall, $80 \%$ of children aged 12-23 months received a BCG vaccination, $75 \%$ received the first dose of DPT, and $93 \%$ received at least one dose of polio. However, only $59 \%$ and $83 \%$ of children received the third dose of DPT and polio, respectively.

Figure CH-1: Vaccination in first year of life, \%age of children with BCG


Figure CH-2: \%age children with BCG have mark on arm


The MICS FATA survey, as per mothers' recall, recorded $57.5 \%$ of BCG coverage for children in first year of life. BCG coverage is higher in urban ( $89.6 \%$ ), than rural ( $54.7 \%$ ) areas. The highest percentage of BCG coverage was recorded in FR Kohat (77\%), while lowest was in FR DI Khan (33\%). However, a mark on the arm was only seen on $68 \%$ of children who reported BCG vaccination during the first year of life. Better results were observed in FR Peshawar, where $90 \%$ of the BCG cases were confirmed by the mark on the arm.

[^2]
## 8. Water and Sanitation

### 8.1. Access to Improved Drinking Water Sources

ccess to safe drinking water is a necessity for good health. Globally, water consumption from open sources is one of the reasons for the spread of diseases like trachoma, cholera, typhoid, hepatitis-A and schistosomiasis. Organic and non-organic materials with harmful effects on human health may also be found in drinking water.
Piped water, public tap water, borehole/ tube well water, protected well water and protected spring water are considered improved drinking water sources. Overall, $41.5 \%$ of the population ( $92.9 \%$ in urban, and $39.3 \%$ in rural areas) had access to improved drinking water sources (Table EN.1). The situation in FR Lakki, FR Bannu and FR DI Khan was considerably worse than other parts of FATA; access to drinking water was available to $8.2 \%, 7.2 \%$ and $13 \%$ respectively.

Figure EN-1: Access to improved sources of drinking water, percent of households, FATA, 2007


There is no single major improved source of drinking water in FATA. However, the highest percentage of families, among the improved sources of water group, had the facility of an inhouse pipe into their dwelling ( $10.5 \%$ ), mostly available in urban areas ( $51.9 \%$ families). Agencies with urban populations have a higher percentage of households with this facility. The second major source was the outside protected well, available to $8.3 \%$ of households. This facility is more common in rural as compared to urban areas ( $8.4 \%$ vs. $5.2 \%$ ).

Sources of drinking water for the population vary significantly by agency and FR (Table EN.1). The most common drinking water sources in FATA are water pipelines (used by $16.8 \%$ of the population), which run into the dwelling or onto the property, and public taps. The protected well inside and outside the house, including the tube well, is a

Figure EN 1B: Use of improved sources of drinking water, percentage population, FATA, 2007

common facility in the rural areas of FATA. The maximum number of families benefitting from the facility is highest in FR Kohat (54.9\%), followed by Khyber and South Waziristan (31.2\%). Collection of water from protected springs is common in South Waziristan. The in-house pipe into dwellings is comparatively better in FR Tank, Khyber and Kurram agencies ( $32.1 \%$, $27.6 \%$ and $23.3 \%$ respectively).
According to survey results, $58.7 \%$ of the population do not have access to clean drinking water, including $16.2 \%$ who use surface water. The highest number of households using surface water for consumption is observed in FR DI Khan (62.8\%), followed by FR Bannu (49\%) and South Waziristan $(34.8 \%)$. The people of these agencies/FRs are, therefore, greatly exposed to the risk of infectious intestinal diseases.
Family members spend considerable time in fetching water on a daily basis. The majority of households collect water from sources outside their dwelling. In urban areas, $47.3 \%$ of households get water at their premises, while this percentage was only $14.4 \%$ in rural areas. Nearly $85 \%$ of families in rural areas collect water from sources outside their house.
Around $46.4 \%$ of households in rural areas fetch water in 30 minutes to one hour, while $25 \%$ spend more than one hour to fetch water. Fetching water is a gender specific activity in FATA. In around $96 \%$ of the households, adult women collect water. Rarely, female children fetch water (1.7\%), and adult men (2.4\%).

In many countries, outbreaks of disease, including diarrhea and poliomyelitis, are connected with the improper removal of human excreta and lack of maintenance of proper personal hygiene. Improved sanitary-hygienic facilities include toilets with a water flush, toilets connected with a sewerage system or a septic tank, other types of toilets with a flush, and improved pit latrines with cesspools or common cesspools.
Only $28.1 \%$ of households use sanitary hygienic facilities. Households in urban areas with improved sanitation facilities were $77.4 \%$, while in rural areas this share was $26 \%$ (Table EN.5). A common type of improved sanitation is the pit latrine with flush, used by $12.4 \%$ of households. In urban areas, the major facility is a flush toilet connected to a septic tank ( $35.5 \%$ ).
The availability of improved sanitation

Figure EN.3: Distribution of time spent by household members retrieving drinking water from the source, FATA, 2007
 facilities varies among various agencies/
FRs. FR Kohat has better sanitation facilities, where $56.9 \%$ of the households use improved sanitation, followed by FR Tank (53.3\%) and FR Peshawar (50.4\%).
A major chunk of the population ( $72 \%$ of households) does not have access to improved sanitation facilities.

## 9. Reproductive Health

### 9.1. Antenatal Care

A
ccording to experts, the antenatal period is a time of intrauterine development of the fetus from the time the zygote is formed until birth. The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well being and that of their infants. It is important to adequately organize a system of antenatal care (antenatal monitoring), to protect maternal health as well as the health of the unborn child, and to ensure necessary assistance for her partner or family to ease the transition to motherhood and fatherhood.
Antenatal care includes prophylaxis, early screening and treatment of diseases, for the mother and the foetus. Training to help women prepare for labour and enhance trust in health personnel (birth attendants) plays an important role. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (e.g., malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. Quality health care and testing during the antenatal period allows early stage prevention and detection of the signs and symptoms of diseases or deviations and allows the mother to seek appropriate treatment. This, in its own turn, assists in reducing newborn morbidity and infant mortality.

WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which includes:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bacteriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anemia
- Weight/height measurement (optional)

In order to determine the quality of antenatal care, 1,156 women who gave birth to children during the two preceding years were interviewed. Of these, $25.8 \%$ received skilled antenatal care/monitoring once or several times during pregnancy. The analysis shows that the percentage of women with antenatal care in urban areas was considerably higher (55.4\%) when compared to rural (23.2\%).

There were significant differences observed between agencies and Frs. The lowest percentage of those who received antenatal care services once or several times during pregnancy was in FR DI Khan (10\%), followed by Mohmand (11.8\%). The highest percentage of women with antenatal care was observed in Khyber agency (45.6\%).

According to the survey results, in $18.4 \%$ of these cases, doctors provided antenatal care services and in $7.4 \%$ of cases, a nurse/midwife or Lady Health Visitors (LHVs) provided these services. Of the

Figure RH-3: Coverage by Antenatal care, FATA, 2007

surveyed women, $43.8 \%$ did not obtain any antenatal care services during pregnancy. It is noted that there is a significant difference between rural and urban areas in terms of antenatal care services provided by a doctor ( $15.9 \%$ vs. $47.8 \%$ respectively).
The highest proportion of women who received antenatal care from a medical doctor were in Khyber (35.5\%) and in FR Kohat (26.4\%), while in FR DI Khan and Orakzai the percentage of women who received antenatal care was only $1.4 \%$ and $5.8 \%$ respectively (Figure RH.3). Correspondingly, the highest proportion of pregnant women who received antenatal care from a nurse/midwife or LHV was observed in Khyber (10.1\%), FR DI Khan and FR Peshawar (both 8.6\%).

### 9.2. Assistance at Delivery

The majority of maternal deaths occur during delivery and the immediate post-partum period. The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure a competent health worker with adequate skills is present at every birth, and transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care in case of emergency. A World Fit For Children goal is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant and proportion of institutional deliveries.
The basic goals of assistance to women during the birthing process include safe (non-traumatic) deliveries, early diagnosis and treatment of delivery complications, early diagnosis and treatment of postpartum complications and effective post-partum care. The most important is the attention given to the newborn in the early neo-natal period.
The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant. A skilled attendant includes a doctor, nurse, midwife or auxiliary midwife/LHV.
Beside traditional boundaries, acquiring the services of a qualified skilled attendant is expensive and beyond the means of poor people. Health facilities are far away and some times trained staff are not available. Proper transport is also a serious problem in FATA. Most of the population live in remote mountainous areas in hard terrain with poor communication systems, hampering access to health services.

Over the course of this survey, 1,156 women between the ages of $15-49$ who gave birth within the past two years were asked where their deliveries took place (at medical institutions or otherwise), and who provided assistance at the delivery.

The analysis revealed that the overwhelming majority of deliveries (72.6\%) took place at home (Table RH. 5 and Figure RH-5). Nearly $18.5 \%$ of deliveries took place in government hospitals. In total $27.3 \%$ of deliveries were attended in hospitals or clinics. Agency-wise variation was observed in terms of the availability of health clinics/ hospital facilities during pregnancy. In Khyber agency, 65\% of women attended hospitals/clinics, where $55.7 \%$ attended government hospitals. This was because of the urban population of the agency and the benefit of easier access to Peshawar city. Urban residents have more awareness and thus the percentage of women that attended hospitals/clinics for delivery accounted for $78.7 \%$, while the percentage in rural areas was around $22.6 \%$.

Figure RH - 5: Location of deliveries, FATA, 2007
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## 10. Education

### 10.1. Primary and Secondary School Participation

niversal access to basic education and the achievement of primary education by the world's children is one of the most important Millennium Development Goals and A World Fit For Children goal. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, contributing to socio-economic development, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth. The indicators for primary and secondary school attendance include:

- Net primary school enrollment rate
- Gross primary school enrollment rate
- Net secondary school enrollment rate
- Gross secondary school enrollment rate
- Net middle school enrollment rate
- Female to male education ratio (GPI)

Bearing in mind that FATA has a traditional society, with low economic development and limited facilities, education is not a priority. The prevailing security situation over the last few years has retarded the pace of growth in education sector.

Entries to education in FATA normally starts from primary level and children of age five years and above are entitled to be formally enrolled.
The MICS generally reflects the attendance of children at various levels of education, measuring education status, which also covers early childhood education irrespective of enrollment. Early childhood education is not common in Pakistan, however, formal education starts at primary level. Hence, the enrolment rate has been considered a vital indicator.

Survey results showed the primary net enrolment rate (NER) was 28.3\% for 6-10 years age of children. The net enrolment rate for males was $39.9 \%$ and $17.3 \%$ for females. The net enrollment rate in urban areas was significantly higher than in rural areas (57.6\% vs. 27.2\%).

The primary net enrollment rate varies among agencies and FRs. The highest primary NER for males was recorded in FR Kohat (63.3\%), followed by Khyber with $54.5 \%$. The female primary NER was also highest in FR Kohat (43.8\%) followed by FR Peshawar (39.6\%). The lowest female primary NER was recorded in FR Bannu (4\%), followed by Orakzai (11.6\%).

Figure ED-1: Primary school Net Enrolment rate, FATA, 2007


The primary Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) for FATA was recorded as 46.3\% (64.8\% for males and 26.8\% for females). The primary GER for urban areas was $95 \%$, with $100 \%$ for males and $90 \%$ for females. The primary GER for rural areas was $44.1 \%$ ( $63.1 \%$ for males vs. $24.2 \%$ for females). The highest GER was recorded in FR Peshawar (83.7\%), followed by FR Kohat (71.3\%).

Figure-ED-2: Primary Gross Enrollment Rate (GER), FATA, 2007


### 10.2. Adult Literacy

One of the World Fit For Children goals is to increase adult literacy. Adult literacy is also a MDG indicator, relating to both men and women. The MICS FATA covered literacy rate related questions for males and females and for various age groups. While analyzing the data, literacy rates for various age groups: 10+ years; 15+ years; and, 15-24 years of young persons were examined.

Figure ED-3: Literacy Rate of 10+ years population, FATA, 2007


The literacy rate for $10+$ years of age is given in Table: ED-8. The $10+$ literacy rate was found to be $21.4 \%$ in FATA. Literacy rate in rural areas was $20 \%$, and $47.3 \%$ in urban areas. The overall female literacy rate (10+) was around $7.5 \%$, however, it was $6.7 \%$ in rural areas.
The overall literacy of $15+$ years of age was $22 \%$ in FATA. It was $49.2 \%$ in urban areas and $20.6 \%$ in rural areas. Female literacy of this age group was $6.7 \%$ and $35.8 \%$ for males. Female literacy of the $15+$ age group was only $5.6 \%$ in rural areas of FATA. Agencies and FRs are significantly varied in terms of literacy rates. The female literacy rate is below 1\% in FR Tank, FR Bannu and FR Lakki. A higher rate of female literacy for 15+-year age group was observed in FR Peshawar (10\%), FR Kohat (15.6\%), Khyber (10.1\%) and Kurram (14.4\%). The overall literacy of $15+$ years age group is lower in the same areas.

Literacy is a good indicator of the potential for local capacity in socio-economic development and technological awareness. Youth literacy (15-24 years of age) is $30.7 \%$ in FATA. Youth literacy for rural areas is $29.1 \%$ and $61.6 \%$ in urban areas. Female youth literacy is $12.4 \%$ in FATA. Lowest youth literacy for females is recorded in FR Lakki (11.3\%).

Figure ED-4: Youth Literacy, 15-24 years of age, FATA, 2007

$\square$ Literate

### 10.3. Gender Parity Index (GPI)

The Gender Parity Index (GPI) for the primary school Net Enrolment Rate (NER) shows a bleak picture in FATA and respective agencies and FRs. Female enrolment in educational institutions is not promising. In FATA the GPI for primary NER is 0.45 . A comparatively better gender balance was observed in FR Peshawar (0.78). The lowest GPI was recorded in FR Bannu (0.22).
Access to secondary education is low in FATA for males as well as females. Females are particularly unable to access secondary school. Most secondary schools are far away from the population or the majority of hamlets. Female mobility is restricted in FATA due to cultural limitations. Transport facilities are often not available, while the road network is non-existent or badly constructed. These are the major factors hampering access to secondary education for girls.

Graph ED-05: Gender Parity Index, primary school net enrolment rate, FATA, 2007


## 11. Child Protection

### 11.1. Birth Registration

he International Convention on the Rights of the Child states that every child has the right to have name, nationality and protection of his/her identity. Birth registration is an important means of protection of these rights. Unfortunately, birth registration is not common in FATA.
According to the survey results, only $1 \%$ of the children below 5 years of age have their births registered. The registration process is growing in urban areas with the introduction of proper offices and facilities. Around $5.6 \%$ of births were registered in urban areas. On the other hand, $87.3 \%$ did not heard of birth registration at all.

### 11.2. Child Labour

Article 32 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child states: "States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development." The World Fit For Children mentions nine strategies to combat child labour and the MDGs call for the protection of children against exploitation.
In the MICS questionnaire, a number of questions addressed the issue of child labour, that is, those children of 5-14 years of age involved in labour activities. A child is considered to be involved in child labour activities at the moment of the survey if during the week preceding the survey:

- Ages 5-11: They are working for at least one hour of (paid) economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
- Ages 12-14: They are working at least 14 hours of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
This definition differentiates child labour from child work to identify the type of work that should be eliminated.
FATA has a conservative society and limited job opportunities. Many parents prefer their children to work at home and/or assist them at work. Some parents raise their children from an early age without taking into account the wishes of the child as well as perspectives of child development in order to support family interests. Over time these children fail to learn how to make decisions on their own. They do not know what kind of rights they have as a child. Parents do not take the safety and health concerns about child labour seriously. They are often ignorant and lack awareness.

In accordance with the survey data, $17.1 \%$ of all children of 5-14 years of age were child labourers. A gender breakdown of the data shows that $16.4 \%$ of male children and $18.1 \%$ of female children are child labourers. Among them $5.1 \%$ were children working outside their households and only $1.7 \%$ of them were paid for the work they performed. Domestic work (28 hours per week) was done by $4.1 \%$ of children ( $3.1 \%$ of male children and $5.4 \%$ of female children). About $8.7 \%$ of children were engaged in the family business ( $10 \%$ males and $7.1 \%$ females).
The majority of working children reside in rural households (17.2\%), working with parental consent in the family business. Only $5.7 \%$ of children in urban areas work.
At the time of the survey, $14.2 \%$ of children aged $5-14$ years attended school (Table CP.2).


## 12. HIVIAIDS

### 12.1. Knowledge of HIV Transmission

The awareness, knowledge and availability of correct information about HIV/AIDS transmission and prevention, especially among young people, are major factors in controlling infection rates. Incorrect information reduces the effectiveness of preventive activities and leads to higher infection rates.
In order to identify levels of awareness of HIV/AIDS and its prevention, interviewees were asked whether they knew about HIV/AIDS, how HIV is transmitted and how it is possible to protect themselves.

A total of 1,314 women were interviewed. Survey results showed that the level of HIV/AIDS awareness among women aged 15-49 varied among agencies and FRS (Table HA.1). A great majority of women ( $88 \%$ ) had no knowledge about HIV/AIDS in FATA. The highest percentage of ignorance was observed in FR DI Khan at $98.6 \%$. The highest awareness rate was recorded in FR Peshawar as $25.8 \%$. Around $43.5 \%$ had heard of HIV/AIDS in urban areas, while only $9.9 \%$ of women knew about HIV/AIDS in rural areas.
Women were asked about the two most common misconceptions: that HIV is transmitted by supernatural means or by sharing food. They were questioned about HIV transmission via sharing needles and whether a healthy-looking person can be infected.

Nearly $6.7 \%$ women had knowledge of one preventive method. Urban women have higher HIV/AIDS awareness, where at least one preventive method is known to $18.5 \%$ of urban women compared to $6 \%$ in rural areas.

When women aged 15-49 years were asked to list three preventive methods, only $2.7 \%$ of women could do this: $17.4 \%$ in urban and $1.9 \%$ in rural areas.

Figure HA-1: Knowledge of 3 preventive methods, FATA, 2007


## 13. Socio-economic status of households

### 13.1. Type of house

The majority of families in FATA live in separate houses or part of compounds. Land is abundant and non productive and, therefore, there is no limitation on the occupation of land for house construction in most areas. Many families construct big compounds with separate houses for different relatives, mostly for grandsons. On average, $85.8 \%$ of households have separate house/compound, while $11.2 \%$ have part of a house or compound. Nearly $86.1 \%$ have separate house/compound in rural and $79.7 \%$ in urban areas. The highest percentage of separate houses/compound was observed in FR DI Khan (98.6\%). There are no significant differences among agencies.
There is no tradition of living in apartments and less than one percent of occupants were observed in flats/apartments in FATA. In urban areas, flats/apartments are becoming popular due to scarcity of land, with $2.6 \%$ of households dwelling in flats/apartments. See Table-HC-1 for details.

### 13.2. Population congestion

Many families in poor communities cannot afford to construct adequate rooms within their house. As a result, many family members sleep in one room and/ or keep livestock in the same room. This results in a number of diseases.
In FATA, 28.4\% of households have one room to sleep 4-6 persons. This is higher in urban areas ( $43.5 \%$ ) than rural ( $27.8 \%$ ) areas. Around $6 \%$ have one room to sleep $7-10$ persons. Similarly, this is more evident in urban areas compared with rural areas ( $8.4 \%$ vs. $6 \%$ ). This suggests that accommodation is expensive and unaffordable to many families in urban areas.


The availability of rooms per population varied significantly among Agency/ FRs. Khyber and FR Peshawar had the higher number of households sleeping $7-10$ persons per room ( $13.3 \%$ and $12.1 \%$ respectively).

### 13.3. Ownership of house

Traditionally tribal people own their own homes, even if they have nothing else. The MICS survey in FATA confirmed this, finding that nearly $95.4 \%$ were homeowners. The percentage of ownership is significantly higher in rural areas ( $96.1 \%$ ), compared to urban (79.4\%).
Agency/FR-wise ownership significantly varies. The lowest rate of ownership was observed in Kurram agency ( $84.1 \%$ ). The percentage of the population living in rented houses is around $1.7 \%$, while $2.5 \%$ live in rent free accommodation (Table-HC 15A).

### 13.4. Land holding

Farming is the major livelihood source in FATA, and the majority of households have small landholdings for subsistence farming. Nearly $85 \%$ of households own less than 5 acres of land, which is not feasible for sustainable livelihoods. People therefore have no other option but to search for alternative sources of income. About 10\% own land of 5-10 acres, while $5.4 \%$ of households own above 10 acres of land (Table HC-11).

### 13.5. Remittances

Due to small land holding and uneconomical cultivation, the majority of the inhabitants rely on income from off-farm sources outside the agency or country. Around $36.1 \%$ of households receive remittances from other parts of Pakistan. Receipt of in-country remittances is significantly higher in urban areas ( $53.2 \%$ ), than rural ( $35.4 \%$ ). The urban population is heavily dependant on remittances from off-farm sectors.

Figure HC-2: Remittance received by households, FATA, 2007


The data shows a great difference in the receipt of remittances among various agencies/FRs. The highest percentage of the population receiving remittances from inside Pakistan was observed in FR Lakki ( $56.7 \%$ ), while the lowest was recorded in FR DI Khan (15.1\%).
The second source of income from remittances is the transfer of money from abroad. Nearly $10 \%$ of households receive remittances from abroad. A higher percentage of households in urban areas (15.6\%) receive remittances from abroad than compared to rural areas (9.9\%).
The flow of remittances from abroad to various agencies ranges from 1.9\% (FR Lakki) to 26.7\% (FR Peshawar).

### 13.6. Media and communication

The media is an important source of awareness. In the developed world, the media is an important instrument of political dominancy, opinion and change and is used to disseminate information and gradually develop public opinion. Educational awareness programmes are increasingly being broadcast via radio and TV in Pakistan. For example, awareness programmes for health promotion have been seen to be very effective.
Media sources in FATA are a mix of old and more modern types. The most popular media source are the government radio channels, which are listened to by $43.5 \%$ of the population.

Figure HC-3: Sources of Media, FATA, 2007


FM Radio is becoming popular in FATA and around $16.1 \%$ of the inhabitants listen to it. The percentage of FM radio listeners is highest in South Waziristan (33.6\%). The range of FM radio listeners varies from 0.4 \% (FR DI Khan) to $33.6 \%$. Television is watched by $13.6 \%$ of households in FATA. It ranges from $1.1 \%$ (FR Bannu) to $38 \%$ (FR Kohat). The third source of information is the newspaper. Around $6 \%$ of households read daily newspapers. The lowest rate of readership is in FR Bannu ( $0.3 \%$ ), while the highest is in FR Kohat (14.9\%).

### 1.1. Donation and Zakat

Many families in poor societies like FATA are highly vulnerable and have no or limited source of income. They depend on donations, charity and zakat. The most vulnerable are widows, destitute and orphans. Donation and zakat are important social safety nets. In Muslim society, zakat is an important source of income distribution and support for the poor.
The survey reveals that $1.6 \%$ of households receive a donation or zakat for meeting routine expenses. The highest percentage of households to receive donations or zakat is recorded in FR Tank and South Waziristan (5.5\%), followed by FR Peshawar (4.5\%), shown in Table HC-16.

### 1.2. Seasonal migration

Seasonal migration is common in mountainous areas such as FATA. Seasonal migrations are undertaken partly for livelihood reasons and partly because of seasonal variation and extreme temperatures. Livestock holders normally migrate to upper pastures in summer and return in winter. Uncertainty and lack of opportunities for livelihood interventions are also reasons for migration.
The survey reveals that $4.3 \%$ of families migrated last year. Heavy migration took place in South Waziristan (12.8\%), as shown in Table HC-13. Security concerns and depleting livelihood sources are mostly the causes of excessive migration.

### 1.3. Livestock

Livestock is one of the major sources of livelihood in FATA. Around $89 \%$ of households keep livestock for milk and sale. Livestock plays a vital role in the coping strategies of households. The highest percentage of livestock owners was observed in FR Tank (100\%), while the lowest was found in Khyber Agency (74.1\%).

### 1.4. Physical assets

Physical assets are an important source of household status and level of resources. In FATA, 21\% of households have a television, $64 \%$ radio, $18 \%$ telephone connection, $5 \%$ own a computer, $22 \%$ refrigerator, $8 \%$ air conditioner, $20 \%$ washing machine and $57 \%$ air cooler. These assets significantly vary among agencies. Besides physical assets, around $70 \%$ of households have electricity and $3 \%$ have access to gas.

# FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS 

## Findings and Conclusions

The Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) is a representative sample survey of households, women and children in FATA. The primary objectives of the survey were to provide basic information to assess the situation of children and women in FATA and to provide data needed for monitoring progress towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), the goals of A World Fit For Children (WFFC), and other international goals.
It is worth mentioning here that the MICS FATA is the first report to provide primary data at the household level since the 1998 population census. It will provide a good basis for resource distribution and planning.
Interviews were completed with 3,797 households, 4,660 women aged 15-49 years of age and mothers/caretakers of 3,547 children under 5 years of age.

- The number of children under 15 years of age accounted for $43.4 \%$ of the population, while $12.1 \%$ of the population was $0-4$ years.
- The average family size was 8.2 members per family, while the sex ratio was 108.7 males per 100 females, close to that of NWFP.
- FATA is a predominantly rural area with a small urban population, mostly located in Khyber and Kurram agencies. Above $96 \%$ of interviewed households were located in rural areas.


## Infant, Child and Maternal Mortality

- Infant, child and maternal mortality rates remain relatively high in FATA when compared to other parts of the country and especially when compared to the national average. The mortality rate for children under five years of age was found to be 104 per 1000 live births, while the infant mortality rate was 86 per 1000 live births. It should be noted that both infant and child mortality rates are higher for males than for females. The under-5 child mortality rate per 1000 live births was 110 for males, 80 for females. Similarly, the infant mortality rate per 1000 live births was 95 for males and 72 for females.
- The maternal mortality rate was 380 per 100,000 live births.


## Nutrition

- The prevalence of underweight among children aged 0-59 months was $33.2 \%$, while 16.3 \% were severely underweight because of malnourishment. Underweight prevalence in males was significantly higher than females ( $36.8 \%$ in males as compared to $29.5 \%$ in females). Similarly, the severely underweight percentage was $18.8 \%$ for males as compared to $13.7 \%$ for females. In rural areas, more children were underweight (33.7\%) and severely wasted ( $6.8 \%$ ). The highest rate of moderate underweight was recorded in South Waziristan and followed by FR Tank (42.5\%, 41.9\% respectively).
- Wasting was reported in $13.1 \%$ of children and severe wasting in $6.6 \%$.
- While nearly $9.7 \%$ of mothers started breast-feeding their newborn within one hour of birth, about one third of the infants were breastfed within one day.
- Around $38.6 \%$ of infants at age $0-6$ months were exclusively breastfed. The percentage of exclusively breastfed infants (0-6 months) was higher in rural (38.7\%) than in urban areas (37.5\%).
- Consumption of iodized salt was very low and stands at $5 \%$ of households. The percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt was higher in urban (11.8\%) than in rural areas (4.7\%).
- Vitamin A capsules are freely distributed in FATA by the Department of Health as part of a mass campaign. Around $43.7 \%$ of the 6-59 months old children received vitamin A
supplements (VAS) 6 months prior to the survey. In general, three out of four eligible children under five years old had benefitted from the national vitamin A campaign.


## Child Health

- Diarrhea is a leading cause of illness in children under five. Nearly 19.3\% of children had episodes of diarrhea in the two weeks preceding the survey. Male children had higher episodes of diarrhea than female ( $19.4 \%$ males versus $17.3 \%$ females). Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) was given to $70 \%$ of children with diarrhea.
- In FATA, 86.9\% of under-5 children with suspected pneumonia had received an antibiotic treatment: $94.7 \%$ in urban areas and $86.1 \%$ in rural areas. There was no difference according to their gender.


## Water and Sanitation

- Overall, $41.5 \%$ of the population had access to improved drinking water sources, however there were large differences in access with $92.9 \%$ in urban areas having access compared to only $39.3 \%$ in rural areas. There is no single major improved source of drinking water in FATA. Only $10.5 \%$ of interviewees had in-house water pipes, mostly in urban areas (51.9\% families).
- Only $28.1 \%$ of the households use hygienic sanitation facilities. $77 \%$ of households in urban areas had improved sanitation facilities, while in rural areas only $26 \%$ has access to proper sanitation.


## Reproductive Health

- Of those who gave birth within the last two years (1,156 women), $25.8 \%$ received antenatal care. The percentage of women who got antenatal care was considerably higher ( $55.4 \%$ ) for urban women when compared to rural women ( $23.2 \%$ ). $18 \%$ of women were assisted by a doctor, while $7.4 \%$ were assisted by a nurse/midwife or Lady Health Visitor (LHV).
- In total, $27.3 \%$ of deliveries took place in hospitals or clinics.


## Education

- The primary school (6-10 years of age) Net Enrolment Rate (NER) was found to be $28.3 \%$. Net Enrolment Rate for boys was $39.9 \%$ and $17.3 \%$ for girls. NERs in urban areas were significantly higher than in rural areas ( $57.6 \%$ versus $27.2 \%$ ).
- The 10+-literacy rate was $21.4 \%$ in FATA. The literacy rate in rural areas was found to be $20 \%$, while $47.3 \%$ in urban areas. The female literacy rate (10+ years age) was around $7.5 \%$ ( $6.7 \%$ in rural areas). This showed that there was no difference between rural and urban areas for female literacy rates.
- The overall literacy of $15+$ years of age was $22 \%$ in FATA. It was $49.2 \%$ in urban and $20.6 \%$ in rural areas. The female literacy of this age group was $6.7 \%$ compared with $35.8 \%$ for males. Female literacy of $15+$ years of age was only $5.6 \%$ in rural areas.
- The Gender Parity Index (GPI) for the primary school Net Enrolment Rate was 0.45 in FATA.


## Child Protection

- Child registration is rare in FATA, where only $1 \%$ of the children below 5 years of age are registered at birth. The registration process is growing in urban areas with the introduction of proper offices and facilities. Around $5.6 \%$ of births were registered in urban areas.
- Of all children aged $5-14$ years, $3.6 \%$ were involved in either economic or domestic work, while $1.5 \%$ worked outside their households. Just $0.1 \%$ were paid for their labour.
- Out of all children of $5-14$ years of age, $17.1 \%$ were child labourers. In all, $16.4 \%$ were male and 18.1\% were female.


## HIVIAIDS

- The large majority of households in FATA (88\%) had no knowledge about HIV/AIDS. The highest percentage of lack of knowledge was observed in FR DI Khan at 98.6\%. The highest awareness rate was recorded in FR Peshawar as $25.8 \%$. Around $43.5 \%$ of women in urban areas had heard of HIVIAIDS, while only $9.9 \%$ of women knew about HIV/AIDS in rural areas.
- Nearly $6.7 \%$ of women interviewed had knowledge of one preventive method. Urban women have greater awareness about HIV/AIDS prevention, where at least one preventive method was known to $18.5 \%$ of urban women compared to $6 \%$ of rural women.
- Awareness of at least three preventive methods by women in the age group 15-49 was observed in $2.7 \%$ for FATA: $17.4 \%$ in urban and $1.9 \%$ in rural areas.


## Socio-economic status of households

- On average, $85.8 \%$ of the households interviewed had separate houses/compounds, while $11.2 \%$ were sharing a house or compound.
- Nearly $28.4 \%$ of the population had one bedroom for $4-6$ people. Around $6 \%$ of the population had one bedroom for 7-10 people.
- Around $95.4 \%$ of the occupants owned their own house. The percentage of ownership is significantly higher in rural areas compared with urban areas ( $96.1 \%$ versus $79.4 \%$ ).
- The majority of households have small landholdings for subsistence farming. Nearly $85 \%$ of the households own less than 5 acres of land.
- Around $36.1 \%$ of households receive remittances from other parts of the country. Receipt of remittances from within Pakistan is significantly higher in urban areas ( $53.2 \%$ ), than rural areas (35.4\%). Nearly $10 \%$ of households receive remittances from abroad.
- Government radio channels are listened to by $43.5 \%$ of the population. FM Radio is listened to by $16.1 \%$ of households and Television is watched by $13.6 \%$ of households in FATA. The percentage of listeners of FM radio is highest in South Waziristan (33.6\%).
- Nearly $1.6 \%$ of households receive donations or zakat for meeting their routine expenses.
- Around $89 \%$ of households keep livestock for milk production and sale.


## SUMMARY TABLE <br> OF FINDINGS

## SUMMARY TABLE OF FINDINGS

Multiple indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators, FATA 2007

|  | MICS <br> Indicator <br> Topic | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Number | Indicator | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Units


|  | 48 |  | Support for learning: more than 3 children's books | - | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 49 |  | Support for learning: more than 3 non-children's books | - | Percent |
|  | 50 |  | Support for learning materials for play (3 or ore toys) | - | Percent |
|  | 51 |  | Non adult care | - | Percent |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 52 |  | Pre-school attendance | - | Percent |
|  | 53 |  | School readiness | - | Percent |
|  | 54 |  | Net intake rate in primary education | - | Percent |
|  | 55 | 6 | Net primary school attendance rate | 28.3 | Percent |
|  | 56 |  | Net secondary school attendance rate | 5.9 | Percent |
|  | 57 | 7 | Children reaching grade 5 | - | Percent |
|  | 58 |  | Transition rate of secondary school | - | Percent |
|  | 59 | 7b | Primary completion rate | - | Percent |
|  |  |  | Gender parity index |  |  |
|  | 61 | 9 | Primary school | 0.45 | Ratio |
|  |  |  | Secondary school | 0.17 | Ratio |
| Literacy | 60 | 8 | Adult literacy rate | 22.0 | Percent |
| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth registration | 62 |  | Birth registration | 1.0 | Percent |
| Child labour | 71 |  | Child labour | 17.1 | Percent |
|  | 72 |  | Labourer students | - | Percent |
|  | 73 |  | Student labourers | - | Percent |
| Child discipline | 74 |  | Any psychological/physical punishment | - | Percent |
| Early marriage and polygyny | 67 |  | Early marriage Marriage before age 15 Marriage before age 18 | - | Percent |
|  | 68 |  | Young women aged 15-19 currently married/in union | - | Percent |
|  | 70 |  | Polygymy | - | Percent |
|  | 69 |  | Spousal age difference (> 10 years) <br> Women of age 15-19 <br> Women of age 20-24 | - | Percent |
| Domestic violence | 100 |  | Attitudes towards domestic violence | - | Percent |
| HIVIAIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR, AND ORPHAND AND VULNERABLE CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV/AIDS Knowledge and attitudes | 82 | 19b | Comprehensive Knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | - | Percent |
|  | 89 |  | Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | - | Percent |
|  | 86 |  | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | - | Percent |
|  | 87 |  | Women who know where to be tested for HIV/AIDS | - | Percent |
|  | 88 |  | Women who have been tested for HIV | - | Percent |
|  | 90 |  | Counseling coverage for the prevention of mother-tochild transmission of HIV | - | Percent |
|  | 91 |  | Testing coverage for the prevention of mother-tochild transmission of HIV | - | Percent |
|  | MICS FATA |  | Knowledge of HIV/AIDS | 11.7 | Percent |
|  | MICS FATA |  | Knowledge of one prevention method | 6.7 | Percent |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MICS } \\ & \text { FATA } \end{aligned}$ |  | Knowledge of 2 prevention methods | 2.3 | Percent |
|  | 92 |  | Age-mixing among sexual partners | - | Percent |
| Sexual behavior | 83 | 19a | Condom use with non-regular partners | - | Percent |
|  | 85 |  | Higher risk sex in the last year | - | Percent |
| Orphan hood | 78 |  | Children's living arrangements | - | Percent |
|  | 75 |  | Prevalence of orphans | - | Percent |
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|  | Federal Bureau of statistics |  |  |
| 7 | Mr. Khalid Mehmood | Deputy Director General Sample Design Section | FBS Islamabad |
| 8 | Mr. Mohammad Ramzan | Chief Statistical Officer Sample Design Section | FBS Islamabad |
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| 9 | Mufti Javed Aziz | Director | Bureau of Statistics NWFP |
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| 11 | Mr. Ershad Karim | Chief provincial Office | UNICEF Islamabad |
| 12 | Ms. Drothee Klaus | Chief PM\&E Section | UNICEF Islamabad |
| 13 | Ms.Sarah Ahmad Mirza | M\&E Specialist | UNICEF Islamabad |
| 14 | Dr. Abdul Jamil | NE Specialist | UNICEF Peshawar |
| 15 | Dr. Mohammad Rafique | Programme Specialist | UNICEF Peshawar |
| 16 | Dr. Jawad Habib Khan | National Consultant | UNICEF Peshawar |
| 17 | Mr. Habib -e-Mustafa | Admn:\& Finance Officer | UNICEF Peshawar |
| 18 | Ms. Humera Ali | PM\&E Officer | UNICEF Peshawar |
|  | World Food Programme |  |  |
| 19 | Mr. Sahib Haq | Head of VAM unit | WFP Islamabad Pakistan |
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| 20 | Mr. Shafaat Sharif | Chief Executive | Eycon Solutions Islamabad |

## TABLES AND MAPS

Table HH.1: Results of Household and Individual Interviews
Results of household and individual interviews Numbers of households, women and children under 5 by results of the household, women's and under-five's interviews, and

|  | Urban/Rural |  | Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Std. Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Bajour | Mohmand | Khyber | Kurram | Orakzai | South Waziristan | FR <br> Peshawar | FR Kohat | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } \\ \text { Bannu } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } \\ \text { Lakki } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } \\ \text { Tank } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } \\ \text { DI } \\ \text { Khan } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Number of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampled households | 168 | 4608 | 528 | 480 | 496 | 504 | 480 | 480 | 288 | 352 | 432 | 320 | 112 | 272 | 4776 |  |
| Occupied households | 168 | 4577 | 527 | 480 | 496 | 504 | 479 | 478 | 277 | 352 | 422 | 320 | 111 | 267 | 4745 |  |
| Interviewed households | 164 | 4132 | 499 | 416 | 480 | 433 | 401 | 409 | 255 | 321 | 416 | 320 | 100 | 246 | 4296 |  |
| Households dropped | 9 | 490 | 58 | 35 | 66 | 72 | 35 | 34 | 14 | 23 | 65 | 36 | 22 | 39 | 499 |  |
| Household response rate | 92 | 80 | 84 | 79 | 83 | 72 | 76 | 78 | 87 | 85 | 83 | 89 | 70 | 78 | 80 | 1.6 |
| Number of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible women | 228 | 5146 | 643 | 506 | 660 | 533 | 566 | 500 | 365 | 391 | 481 | 334 | 122 | 273 | 5374 |  |
| Interviewed women | 227 | 5084 | 639 | 506 | 656 | 529 | 560 | 496 | 346 | 387 | 469 | 330 | 120 | 273 | 5311 |  |
| Women dropped | 11 | 546 | 65 | 41 | 81 | 77 | 38 | 41 | 21 | 27 | 62 | 33 | 26 | 45 | 557 |  |
| Women response rate | 95 | 88 | 89 | 92 | 87 | 85 | 92 | 91 | 89 | 92 | 85 | 89 | 77 | 84 | 88 | 1.1 |
| Number of children under 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible children | 207 | 3964 | 428 | 471 | 589 | 448 | 502 | 356 | 304 | 239 | 352 | 233 | 74 | 175 | 4171 |  |
| Mother/Caretaker Interviewed | 204 | 3891 | 425 | 471 | 574 | 445 | 499 | 343 | 294 | 235 | 333 | 229 | 74 | 173 | 4095 |  |
| Children under5 | 10 | 397 | 54 | 36 | 62 | 64 | 33 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 16 | 8 | 25 | 407 |  |
| Child response rate | 94 | 88 | 87 | 92 | 87 | 85 | 93 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 83 | 91 | 89 | 85 | 88 | 0.8 |

Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex
Percent distribution of the household population by five-year age groups and dependency age groups, and number of children aged

|  | Sex |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Number | Percent |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  |
| 0-4 | 1,953 | 11.9 | 1,855 | 12.2 | 3,808 | 12.0 |
| 5-9 | 2,716 | 16.5 | 2,614 | 17.2 | 5,330 | 16.9 |
| 10-14 | 2,382 | 14.5 | 2,167 | 14.3 | 4,549 | 14.4 |
| 15-19 | 1,934 | 11.7 | 1,806 | 11.9 | 3,740 | 11.8 |
| 20-24 | 1,403 | 8.5 | 1,361 | 9.0 | 2,764 | 8.7 |
| 25-29 | 1,152 | 7.0 | 1,080 | 7.1 | 2,232 | 7.1 |
| 30-34 | 888 | 5.4 | 844 | 5.6 | 1,732 | 5.5 |
| 35-39 | 745 | 4.5 | 787 | 5.2 | 1,532 | 4.8 |
| 40-44 | 732 | 4.4 | 609 | 4.0 | 1,341 | 4.2 |
| 45-49 | 553 | 3.4 | 478 | 3.2 | 1,031 | 3.3 |
| 50-54 | 557 | 3.4 | 682 | 4.5 | 1,239 | 3.9 |
| 55-59 | 430 | 2.6 | 340 | 2.2 | 770 | 2.4 |
| 60-64 | 503 | 3.1 | 248 | 1.6 | 751 | 2.4 |
| 65-69 | 169 | 1.0 | 111 | 0.7 | 280 | 0.9 |
| 70+ | 356 | 2.2 | 176 | 1.2 | 532 | 1.7 |
| Missing/DK | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| <15 | 7,051 | 42.8 | 6,636 | 43.8 | 13,687 | 43.3 |
| 15-64 | 8,897 | 54.0 | 8,235 | 54.3 | 17,132 | 54.2 |
| 65+ | 525 | 3.2 | 287 | 1.9 | 812 | 2.6 |
| Missing/DK | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Children aged 0-17 | 8,214 | 49.8 | 7,725 | 51.0 | 15,939 | 50.4 |
| Adults 18+/Missing/DK | 8,266 | 50.2 | 7,436 | 49.0 | 15,702 | 49.6 |
| Total | 16,480 | 100.0 | 15,161 | 100.0 | 31,641 | 100.0 |

MICS FATA indicator

## Table HH.3: Household Composition

Percent distribution of households by selected characteristics, FATA, 2007

|  |  | Number of households |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | percent |  |  |
| Sex of household head | 99.8 | 4,288 | 4,288 |
| Male | 0.2 | 8 | 8 |
| Female |  |  | 499 |
| Agency | 11.6 | 499 | 416 |
| Bajour | 9.7 | 416 | 480 |
| Mohmand | 11.2 | 480 | 433 |
| Khyber | 10.1 | 433 | 401 |
| Kurram | 9.3 | 401 | 409 |
| Orakzai | 9.5 | 409 | 255 |
| South Waziristan | 5.9 | 255 | 321 |
| FR Peshawar | 7.5 | 321 | 416 |
| FR Kohat | 9.7 | 416 | 320 |
| FR Bannu | 7.4 | 320 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 2.3 | 100 | 246 |
| FR Tank | 5.7 | 246 | 3 |
| FR DI Khan |  |  | 209 |
| Number of household members | 0.1 | 3 | 685 |
| 1 | 4.9 | 209 | 1,196 |
| 2-3 | 15.9 | 685 | 1,035 |
| 4-5 | 27.8 | 1,196 | 1,168 |
| 6-7 | 24.1 | 1,035 | 4,296 |
| 8-9 | 27.2 | 1,168 |  |
| 10+ | 100.0 | 4,296 | 164 |
| Total |  |  | 4,132 |
| Residence | 3.8 | 164 | 3,515 |
| Urban | 96.2 | 4,132 | 4,294 |
| Rural | 82.5 | 3,515 | 4,296 |
| At least one child aged < 18 | 63.5 | 4,294 |  |
| At least one child aged < 5 | 95.1 | 4,296 |  |
| At least one woman aged $15-49$ |  |  |  |

[^4]
## Table HH.4: Women's Background Characteristics

## Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 years by background characteristics, FATA, 2007

|  |  | Number of women |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Percent |  | Un-weighted |
| Agency | 12.3 |  |  |
| Bajour | 8.5 | 574 | 574 |
| FR Bannu | 4.9 | 396 | 396 |
| FR DI Khan | 7.7 | 228 | 228 |
| FR Kohat | 5.8 | 358 | 358 |
| FR Lakki | 6.5 | 268 | 268 |
| FR Peshawar | 1.9 | 305 | 305 |
| FR Tank | 12.1 | 90 | 90 |
| Khyber | 9.7 | 564 | 564 |
| Kurram | 9.9 | 450 | 450 |
| Mohmand | 11.1 | 463 | 463 |
| Orakzai | 9.5 | 519 | 519 |
| South Waziristan | 4.5 | 445 | 445 |
| Residence | 9.5 |  |  |
| Urban |  | 212 | 212 |
| Rural | 89.5 | 4,448 | 4,448 |
| Motherhood status | 10.5 |  | 4,171 |
| Ever gave birth |  | 489 | 489 |
| Never gave birth |  |  |  |
| MICS FATAIIdicator |  |  |  |

## Table HH.5: Children's Background Characteristics

Percent distribution of children under five years of age by background characteristics, FATA, 2007

|  |  | Number of under-5 children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unweighted |  |  |  |

## Table CM.1: Child Mortality Rate

Infant and under-five mortality rate, FATA, 2007
Infant mortality rate*
Under-five mortality rate**

## Sex

Male $95 \quad 110$

Female 7280
Total 86
104

* MICS Indicator 2; MDG indicator 14
** MICS Indicator 1; MDG indicator 13


## Table CM.2: Children Ever Born, Children Surviving, Proportion Dead

Mean number of children ever born, children surviving and proportion dead by age of women, FATA, 2007

| women age <br> group | Mean number of <br> children ever born | Mean number of <br> children <br> surviving | Proportion <br> dead | Number of <br> women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-19$ | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 141 |
| $20-24$ | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 464 |
| $25-29$ | 1.46 | 1.37 | 0.05 | 532 |
| $30-34$ | 1.82 | 1.71 | 0.07 | 408 |
| $35-39$ | 2.31 | 2.17 | 0.06 | 398 |
| $40-44$ | 2.14 | 1.97 | 0.05 | 419 |
| $45-49$ | 2.10 | 1.90 | 0.09 | 525 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 8 7}$ |

MICS FATA Indicator

## Table NU-1: Child Malnourishment

## Percentage of children aged 0-59 months who are severely or moderately malnourished, FATA, 20007

|  | Weight for age |  | Weight for height |  | Children age 0-59 | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below 2SD* | Below 3SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & 2 \text { SD}^{* * *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ 3 S D \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| male | 36.8 | 18.8 | 13.9 | 6.9 | 1621 | 1.58 |
| female | 29.5 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 6.3 | 1565 | 1.53 |
| Total | 33.2 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 3186 | 1.56 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 26.8 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 3.7 | 347 | 1.34 |
| FR Bannu | 31.2 | 17.1 | 16.8 | 12.3 | 234 | 1.80 |
| FR DI Khan | 32.2 | 16.4 | 11.7 | 3.9 | 146 | 1.38 |
| FR Kohat | 39.2 | 18.6 | 15.3 | 11.1 | 199 | 1.51 |
| FR Peshawar | 31.3 | 16.6 | 15.0 | 7.3 | 259 | 1.54 |
| FR Tank | 41.9 | 16.1 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 62 | 1.19 |
| Khyber | 30.8 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 3.3 | 493 | 1.58 |
| Kurram | 29.2 | 17.2 | 11.3 | 5.3 | 366 | 1.66 |
| Mohmand | 38.1 | 21.3 | 22.5 | 11.9 | 404 | 1.69 |
| Orakzai | 33.3 | 15.3 | 11.1 | 6.3 | 450 | 1.59 |
| South Waziristan | 42.5 | 18.6 | 8.3 | 3.7 | 226 | 1.36 |
| Total | 33.2 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 3186 | 1.56 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.3 | 11.1 | 13.6 | 3.4 | 190 | 1.62 |
| Rural | 33.7 | 16.6 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 2996 | 1.55 |
| Total | 33.2 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 3186 | 1.56 |

[^5]
## Table NU.2: Initial Breastfeeding

Percentage of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who breastfed their baby within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, FATA, 2007
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline & \text { Percentage } & \text { Percentage } & \text { Number of women } \\ \text { who started } \\ \text { breastfeeding within } \\ \text { one hour of birth* }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { who started } \\ \text { breastfeeding } \\ \text { within one day of } \\ \text { birth }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { with live birth in the } \\ \text { two years preceding } \\ \text { the survey }\end{array}\right]$
*MICS Indicator 45

|  | Children 0-3 Months |  | Children 0-5 Months |  | Children 6-9 Months |  | Children 12-15 Months |  | Children 20-23 Months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent exclusively breastfed | Number of children | Percent exclusively breastfed * | Number of children | Percent receiving breast milk and solid/mushy food ** | Number of children | Percent breastfed*** | Number of children | Percent breastfed *** | Number of children |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 46.4 | 84 | 39.8 | 128 | 25.0 | 72 | 85.1 | 148 | 63.6 | 22 |
| Male | 42.6 | 115 | 39.0 | 159 | 38.1 | 63 | 88.7 | 159 | 65.4 | 26 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 16.7 | 6 | 33.3 | 12 | 11.1 | 9 | 93.8 | 32 | 66.7 | 3 |
| FR Kohat | 7.7 | 13 | 5.9 | 17 | 20.0 | 5 | 93.1 | 29 | 100.0 | 9 |
| FR Peshawar | 48.4 | 31 | 42.1 | 38 | 52.2 | 23 | 100.0 | 23 | 50.0 | 2 |
| Khyber | 45.9 | 37 | 37.3 | 59 | 35.7 | 28 | 76.8 | 56 | 41.2 | 17 |
| Kurram | 40.0 | 30 | 38.8 | 49 | 33.3 | 21 | 86.3 | 51 | 80.0 | 5 |
| Mohmand | 62.5 | 48 | 54.0 | 63 | 36.4 | 22 | 89.3 | 56 | 71.4 | 7 |
| Orakzai | 35.3 | 34 | 34.7 | 49 | 11.1 | 27 | 83.3 | 60 | 60.0 | 5 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.0 | 15 | 39.1 | 23 | 33.3 | 9 | 81.0 | 21 | 80.0 | 5 |
| Rural | 44.6 | 184 | 39.4 | 264 | 31.0 | 126 | 87.4 | 286 | 62.8 | 43 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 36.5 | 74 | 34.9 | 109 | 33.3 | 39 | 83.2 | 113 | 63.6 | 22 |
| Second | 47.9 | 48 | 39.0 | 59 | 35.3 | 34 | 86.1 | 79 | 63.6 | 11 |
| Middle | 41.5 | 41 | 35.5 | 62 | 35.1 | 37 | 89.5 | 57 | 80.0 | 5 |
| Fourth | 65.2 | 23 | 56.3 | 32 | 7.7 | 13 | 90.0 | 30 | 60.0 | 5 |
| Richest | 50.0 | 12 | 50.0 | 24 | 25.0 | 12 | 96.4 | 28 | 50.0 | 4 |
| Total | 44.4 | 198 | 39.5 | 286 | 31.1 | 135 | 87.0 | 307 | 63.8 | 47 |

* MICS indicator 15
** MICS indicator 17
*** MICS indicator 16
Table NU.3w: Infant Feeding Patterns by age

| Age | Infant feeding pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exclusively breastfed | Breastfed and plain water only | Breastfed and nonmilk liquids | Breastfed and other milk/ formula | Breastfed and complement ary foods | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weaned } \\ & \text { (not } \\ & \text { breastfed) } \end{aligned}$ | Total |  |
| 0-1 | 74.6 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 100 | 76 |
| 2-3 | 53.7 | 11.7 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 100 | 123 |
| 4-5 | 50.0 | 20.4 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 100 | 88 |
| 6-7 | 35.8 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 34.8 | 21.0 | 100 | 75 |
| 8-9 | 36.3 | 26.7 | 10.4 | 3.1 | 16.5 | 7.0 | 100 | 60 |
| 10-11 | 27.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 44.7 | 25.7 | 100 | 44 |
| 12-13 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 54.6 | 14.8 | 100 | 143 |
| 14-15 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 51.9 | 18.7 | 100 | 164 |
| 16-17 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 74.0 | 20.1 | 100 | 47 |
| 18-19 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 48.2 | 36.9 | 100 | 36 |
| 20-21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 50.2 | 44.7 | 100 | 18 |
| 22-23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 35.8 | 51.2 | 100 | 30 |
| 24-25 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 95.2 | 100 | 210 |
| 26-27 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 96.0 | 100 | 102 |
| 28-29 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99.7 | 100 | 46 |
| 30-31 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 92.2 | 100 | 43 |
| 32-33 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 97.1 | 100 | 15 |
| 34-35 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 19 |
| Total | 21.2 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 24.4 | 42.3 | 100 | 1339 |

MICS FATA Indicator
Table NU.4: Adequately Fed Infants
Percentage of infants under 6 months of age exclusively breastfed, percentage of infants 6-11 months who are breastfed and who ate solid / semi-solid food at least the minimum recommended number of times yesterday and percentage of the infants adequately fed, FATA, 2007

|  | 0-5 months exclusively breastfed | 6-8 months who received breast milk and complementary food at least 2 times in prior 24 hours | 9-11 months who received breast milk and complementary food at least 3 times in prior 24 hours | 6-11 months who received breast milk and complementary food at least the minimum recommended number of times per day* | 0-11 months who were appropriately fed** | Number of infants aged 0-11 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 39.8 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 30.6 | 219 |
| Male | 39.0 | 25.9 | 23.5 | 25.0 | 34.0 | 247 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 33.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 23.8 | 21 |
| FR Kohat | 5.9 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 25 |
| FR Peshawar | 42.1 | 35.0 | 42.9 | 37.0 | 40.0 | 65 |
| Khyber | 37.3 | 15.0 | 26.3 | 20.5 | 30.6 | 98 |
| Kurram | 38.8 | 26.3 | 27.3 | 26.7 | 34.2 | 79 |
| Mohmand | 54.0 | 28.6 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 46.6 | 88 |
| Orakzai | 34.7 | 5.0 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 22.2 | 90 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.1 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 34.9 | 43 |
| Rural | 39.4 | 22.0 | 16.0 | 20.1 | 32.2 | 423 |
| Total | 39.4 | 21.1 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 32.4 | 466 |

Table NU 5: Iodized Salt Consumption

## Percentage of household consuming adequately iodized salt, FATA, 2007



[^6]
## Table NU 5a: lodized Salt Consumption

## Percentage of household who know about lodized salt FATA 2007

|  | Do you know lodized salt |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes* | No | Total | Number of households |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 31.1 | 68.9 | 100 | 440 |
| FR Bannu | 47.0 | 53.0 | 100 | 347 |
| FR DI Khan | 33.3 | 66.7 | 100 | 207 |
| FR Kohat | 51.9 | 48.1 | 100 | 295 |
| FR Lakki | 57.6 | 42.4 | 100 | 278 |
| FR Peshawar | 75.5 | 24.5 | 100 | 241 |
| FR Tank | 75.3 | 24.7 | 100 | 77 |
| Khyber | 71.2 | 28.8 | 100 | 413 |
| Kurram | 50.6 | 49.4 | 100 | 360 |
| Mohmand | 32.4 | 67.6 | 100 | 380 |
| Orakzai | 41.4 | 58.6 | 100 | 365 |
| South Waziristan | 34.0 | 66.0 | 100 | 373 |
| Total | 47.6 | 52.4 | 100 | 3776 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.4 | 20.6 | 100 | 155 |
| Rural | 46.3 | 53.7 | 100 | 3621 |
| Total | 47.6 | 52.4 | 100 | 3776 |
| *MICS Indicator 41 |  |  |  |  |

*MICS Indicator 41
Table NU.6: Children's Vitamin A Supplementation
Percent distribution of children aged 6-59 months by whether they received a high dose Vitamin A

|  | Percent of children who received Vitamin A: |  |  |  | Total | Number of children aged 6-59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within last 6 months* | Prior to last 6 months | Not sure if received | Never received Vitamin A |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 41.7 | 32.5 | 1.1 | 23.5 | 100 | 1063 |
| Male | 45.8 | 31.1 | 1.5 | 15.5 | 100 | 1079 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 75.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 100 | 136 |
| FR Kohat | 77.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 40.3 | 100 | 197 |
| FR Peshawar | 28.5 | 51.9 | 2.5 | 44.3 | 100 | 239 |
| Khyber | 40.0 | 40.8 | 2.4 | 13.9 | 100 | 453 |
| Kurram | 43.6 | 30.6 | 0.9 | 38.0 | 100 | 330 |
| Mohmand | 44.7 | 31.0 | 0.8 | 58.7 | 100 | 371 |
| Orakzai | 29.6 | 32.7 | 0.7 | 34.9 | 100 | 416 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.6 | 68.4 | 0.6 | 10.2 | 100 | 171 |
| Rural | 45.7 | 28.7 | 1.4 | 41.0 | 100 | 1971 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 months | 38.7 | 23.8 | 0.6 | 31.5 | 100 | 181 |
| 12-17 months | 51.8 | 25.6 | 3.1 | 34.7 | 100 | 355 |
| 18-23 months | 48.8 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 100 | 84 |
| 24-35 months | 43.2 | 35.9 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 100 | 437 |
| 36-47 months | 41.1 | 37.5 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 100 | 589 |
| 48-59 months | 42.5 | 28.0 | 1.4 | 6.5 | 100 | 496 |
| Total | 43.7 | 31.8 | 1.3 | 38.5 | 100 | 2142 |

* MICS indicator 42


## Table CH 1: Vaccination in first year of Life

## Proportion of Children aged below 5 years who received BCG, FATA, 2007

|  |  | BCG* |  |  | Number <br> of |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No | Do not know | Total | Children |

## *MICS indicator 25

*MDG indicator 15

## Table CH.1a:Vaccination in First Year of Life

Proportion of children aged below 5 years who received BCG, FATA, 2007

| Agency | If BCG* yes then mark on arm |  | Total | Number of Children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 52.7 | 47.3 | 100 | 93 |
| FR Kohat | 78.3 | 21.7 | 100 | 203 |
| FR Peshawar | 89.8 | 10.2 | 100 | 215 |
| Khyber | 77.2 | 22.8 | 100 | 425 |
| Kurram | 62.8 | 37.2 | 100 | 320 |
| Mohmand | 53.8 | 46.2 | 100 | 346 |
| Orakzai | 57.3 | 42.7 | 100 | 309 |
| FATA | 67.7 | 32.3 | 100 | 1911 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.1 | 12.9 | 100 | 186 |
| Rural | 65.6 | 34.4 | 100 | 1725 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 49 | 51 | 100 | 49 |
| FR Kohat | 77.4 | 22.6 | 100 | 84 |
| FR Peshawar | 91.8 | 8.2 | 100 | 98 |
| Khyber | 74 | 26 | 100 | 200 |
| Kurram | 62.9 | 37.1 | 100 | 170 |
| Mohmand | 49.4 | 50.6 | 100 | 158 |
| Orakzai | 56.9 | 43.1 | 100 | 153 |
| Total | 65.7 | 34.3 | 100 | 912 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.5 | 15.5 | 100 | 84 |
| Rural | 63.8 | 36.2 | 100 | 828 |
| Total | 65.7 | 34.3 | 100 | 912 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 56.8 | 43.2 | 100 | 44 |
| FR Kohat | 79 | 21 | 100 | 119 |
| FR Peshawar | 88 | 12 | 100 | 117 |
| Khyber | 80 | 20 | 100 | 225 |
| Kurram | 62.7 | 37.3 | 100 | 150 |
| Mohmand | 57.4 | 42.6 | 100 | 188 |
| Orakzai | 57.7 | 42.3 | 100 | 156 |
| Total | 69.5 | 30.5 | 100 | 999 |
| Domain |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.2 | 10.8 | 100 | 102 |
| Rural | 67.2 | 32.8 | 100 | 897 |
| Total | 69.5 | 30.5 | 100 | 999 |

[^7]Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhea in the last two weeks and treatment with oral rehydration solution

|  | Had diarrhea in last two weeks | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Fluid from ORS packet | Recommended homemade fluid | Pre-packaged ORS fluid | No treatment | ORT use rate * | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 19.4 | 1209 | 60.4 | 9.8 | 20.4 | 28.5 | 71.5 | 235 |
| Male | 17.3 | 1252 | 56.0 | 13.0 | 19.0 | 30.6 | 69.4 | 216 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 9.9 | 149 | 35.7 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 64.3 | 14 |
| FR Kohat | 20.5 | 220 | 57.1 | 9.5 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 78.6 | 42 |
| FR Peshawar | 14.7 | 287 | 47.2 | 2.8 | 11.1 | 41.7 | 58.3 | 36 |
| Khyber | 21.4 | 526 | 57.1 | 11.4 | 22.9 | 35.2 | 64.8 | 105 |
| Kurram | 25.5 | 379 | 61.5 | 11.5 | 14.6 | 22.9 | 77.1 | 96 |
| Mohmand | 16.1 | 434 | 51.5 | 16.2 | 5.9 | 32.4 | 67.6 | 68 |
| Orakzai | 19.7 | 466 | 70.0 | 8.9 | 41.1 | 25.6 | 74.4 | 90 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.0 | 197 | 58.5 | 4.9 | 14.6 | 34.1 | 65.9 | 41 |
| Rural | 19.1 | 2264 | 58.3 | 12.0 | 20.2 | 29.0 | 71.0 | 410 |
| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 15.4 | 319 | 44.9 | 10.2 | 18.4 | 40.8 | 59.2 | 49 |
| 6-11 months | 27.1 | 181 | 53.1 | 8.2 | 22.4 | 32.7 | 67.3 | 49 |
| 12-17 months | 29.9 | 355 | 61.3 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 20.8 | 79.2 | 106 |
| 18-23 months | 28.6 | 84 | 41.7 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 54.2 | 45.8 | 24 |
| 24-35 months | 19.7 | 437 | 62.8 | 7.0 | 22.1 | 29.1 | 70.9 | 86 |
| 36-47 months | 13.9 | 589 | 58.5 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 29.3 | 70.7 | 82 |
| 48-59 months Total | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \\ & 19.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 496 \\ 2461 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.1 \\ 58.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \\ 11.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.9 \\ 19.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.6 \\ 29.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.4 \\ & 70.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 451 \end{gathered}$ |

[^8]Table CH 5: Home Management of Diarrhea
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhea $n$ the last two weeks who took increased fluids and continued to feed during the episode, FATA 2007

|  |  | Drink water/juices during illness |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhea in last two weeks | very little or not | as usual or little | more than usual | Do not know | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhea |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 19.7 | 55.8 | 75.5 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 235 |
| Female | 17.5 | 55.6 | 73.6 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 216 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 9.86 | 30.77 | 30.77 | 15.38 | 23.08 | 14 |
| FR Kohat | 20.49 | 16.67 | 50.00 | 28.57 | 4.76 | 42 |
| FR Peshawar | 14.69 | 23.53 | 41.18 | 26.47 | 8.82 | 36 |
| Khyber | 21.43 | 24.49 | 43.88 | 20.41 | 11.22 | 105 |
| Kurram | 25.46 | 48.39 | 37.63 | 10.75 | 3.23 | 96 |
| Mohmand | 16.11 | 37.88 | 45.45 | 6.06 | 10.61 | 68 |
| Orakzai | 19.69 | 18.82 | 70.59 | 7.06 | 3.53 | 90 |
| Total | 19.29 | 29.93 | 48.03 | 14.62 | 7.42 | 451 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.0 | 41.03 | 51.28 | 5.13 | 2.56 | 41 |
| Rural | 19.1 | 28.83 | 47.70 | 15.56 | 7.91 | 410 |
| Total | 19.3 | 29.93 | 48.03 | 14.62 | 7.42 | 451 |

MICS indicator 34
Table CH 5a: Home Management of Diarrhea
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhea n the last two weeks who took increased fluids and continued to feed during the episode, FATA 2007

|  | Quantity of food eaten during illness |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No food | very little | little | same as usual | More than usual | Do not know |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 10.57 | 47.14 | 22.47 | 12.78 | 3.08 | 3.96 |
| Female | 8.74 | 49.51 | 24.76 | 10.68 | 2.91 | 3.40 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 7.69 | 53.85 | 7.69 | 15.38 | 0.00 | 15.38 |
| FR Kohat | 7.14 | 50.00 | 16.67 | 21.43 | 2.38 | 2.38 |
| FR Peshawar | 11.76 | 44.12 | 29.41 | 8.82 | 5.88 | 0.00 |
| Khyber | 9.09 | 32.32 | 29.29 | 15.15 | 6.06 | 8.08 |
| Kurram | 9.68 | 65.59 | 18.28 | 2.15 | 3.23 | 1.08 |
| Mohmand | 13.43 | 58.21 | 20.90 | 5.97 | 0.00 | 1.49 |
| Orakzai | 8.24 | 40.00 | 28.24 | 18.82 | 1.18 | 3.53 |
| Total | 9.70 | 48.27 | 23.56 | 11.78 | 3.00 | 3.70 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.00 | 50.00 | 40.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 0.00 |
| Rural | 10.18 | 48.09 | 21.88 | 12.72 | 3.05 | 4.07 |
| Total | 9.70 | 48.27 | 23.56 | 11.78 | 3.00 | 3.70 |

[^9]Table CH.7A: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia
Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months by knowledge of types of symptoms for taking a child immediately to a health facility, and percentage of mothers/caretakers who recognize fast and difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, FATA, 2007

|  | Percentage of mother/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months who think that a child should be taken immediately to a health facility if the child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mothers/caretakerswhorecognize thetwo dangersigns ofpneumonia | Number of mothers/ caretakers of children aged 0-59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is not able to drink or breastfeed | Become s sicker | Develops a fever | Has fast breathing | Has difficulty breathing | Has bloo d in stool | Is drinking poorly | Has other symptoms |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 5.4 | 48.0 | 60.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 16.9 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 18.9 | 148 |
| FR Kohat | 5.7 | 27.8 | 62.7 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 209 |
| FR Peshawar | 8.7 | 28.7 | 41.5 | 5.5 | 13.8 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 19.3 | 2.2 | 275 |
| Khyber | 15.7 | 45.0 | 60.5 | 10.2 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 19.2 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 511 |
| Kurram | 29.4 | 42.9 | 55.6 | 15.3 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 378 |
| Mohmand | 40.7 | 50.7 | 58.9 | 23.6 | 25.5 | 15.7 | 20.1 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 428 |
| Orakzai | 12.3 | 38.5 | 61.7 | 12.7 | 23.2 | 8.8 | 11.6 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 465 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.3 | 56.7 | 54.6 | 27.8 | 27.3 | 12.9 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 194 |
| Rural | 20.1 | 39.9 | 58.0 | 13.3 | 19.0 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 2220 |
| Total | 19.3 | 41.3 | 57.7 | 14.5 | 19.7 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 2414 |

[^10]Table CH.8: Solid Fuel Use
Percent distribution of households according to type of cooking fuel, and percentage of households used solid fuels

|  | Source of fuel for Cooking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Solid fuels for cooking * | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electricity | GasLPG | Biogas | Kerosene Oil | Coal | Fire wood | Grasses | Dung cake | Strawwheat | Total |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 84.8 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 100 | 98.6 | 441 |
| Mohmand | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 86.4 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 100 | 98.2 | 381 |
| Khyber | 6.1 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 80.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 82.9 | 414 |
| Kurram | 3.9 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 86.4 | 361 |
| Orakzai | 4.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 94.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 95.6 | 366 |
| South Waziristan | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 97.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100 | 98.7 | 375 |
| FR Peshawar | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 90.0 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 96.7 | 241 |
| FR Kohat | 1.3 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 84.2 | 298 |
| FR Bannu | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 97.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 96.9 | 351 |
| FR Lakki | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 97.9 | 284 |
| FR Tank | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 78.2 | 11.5 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 100 | 97.4 | 78 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 207 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.8 | 27.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 57.4 | 155 |
| Rural | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 91.3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 100 | 95.6 | 3642 |

[^11]Table CH.9: Solid Fuel Use by Type of Stove or Fire

[^12]Table EN 1: Use of Improved Sources of Drinking Water
Proportion of households using improved sources of drinking water FATA 2007

|  | Improved source of drinking water* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In housepipe into dwelling | In housepipe into yard | Publictap/stand post/hand pump | In househand pump | In house donkey pump | In house protected well | Turbinetube well | Protecte d well | Protected spring | Bottle water |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 7.5 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 37.1 | 441 |
| FR Bannu | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 351 |
| FR DI Khan | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 207 |
| FR Kohat | 12.5 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 18.5 | 29.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 297 |
| FR Lakki | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 7.8 | 283 |
| FR Peshawar | 5.4 | 3.3 | 17.0 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 241 |
| FR Tank | 32.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 78 |
| Khyber | 27.6 | 1.5 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 17.4 | 8.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 71.2 | 413 |
| Kurram | 23.3 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 361 |
| Mohmand | 9.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 381 |
| Orakzai | 8.5 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 366 |
| South | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 8.8 |  | 21.4 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 374 |
| Total | 10.5 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 41.3 | 3793 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.9 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 16.9 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 154 |
| Rural | 8.7 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 39.2 | 3639 |
| Total | 10.5 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 41.3 | 3793 |

[^13]Table EN 1b: Use of Un-improved Sources of Drinking Water
Proportion of households using Un-improved sources of drinking water FATA 2007

|  | Un-improved sources of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In houseunprotected well | Unprotected well | Unprotected spring | Rain water | Tankertruck | Cart with Buckets -drum | River/strea m/dam/lak e/pond | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 4.1 | 16.1 | 34.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 0 | 63.1 | 441 |
| FR Bannu | 0.9 | 3.4 | 18.2 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 49.0 | 0.0 | 94.0 | 351 |
| FR DI Khan | 1.4 | 2.4 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 62.8 | 0.0 | 87.4 | 207 |
| FR Kohat | 1.7 | 8.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 22.9 | 297 |
| FR Lakki | 0.0 | 6.4 | 62.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 283 |
| FR Peshawar | 2.5 | 20.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 41.9 | 241 |
| FR Tank | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 33.3 | 52.6 | 78 |
| Khyber | 3.9 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 28.8 | 413 |
| Kurram | 0.8 | 5.8 | 33.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 47.6 | 361 |
| Mohmand | 5.0 | 33.3 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 72.7 | 381 |
| Orakzai | 3.6 | 15.6 | 34.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 62.0 | 366 |
| South Waziristan | 1.1 | 2.4 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.8 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 374 |
| Total | 2.4 | 11.7 | 22.3 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 16.2 | 1.5 | 58.7 | 3793 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 154 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 12.0 | 23.1 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 16.9 | 1.5 | 60.8 | 3639 |
| Total | 2.4 | 11.7 | 22.3 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 16.2 | 1.5 | 58.7 | 3793 |

Table EN 1c : Use of Improved Sources of Water for Other Purposes
Proportion of households using improved sources of water for other purposes FATA 2007

|  | Improved source of water* for cocking and washing hands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In housepipe into dwelling | housepipe into yard | Publictap/stand post/hand pump |  | In house donkey pump | In house protected well | Protected well | Protected spring | Bottle water | Turbinetube well | Total | Number of households |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 7.3 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 38.0 | 439 |
| FR Bannu | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 348 |
| FR DI Khan | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 13.0 | 207 |
| FR Kohat | 11.7 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 8.9 | 27.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 77.7 | 291 |
| FR Lakki | 0.4 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 282 |
| FR Peshawar | 5.4 | 3.3 | 17.4 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 58.5 | 241 |
| FR Tank | 19.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 33.9 | 62 |
| Khyber | 27.8 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 71.4 | 413 |
| Kurram | 25.8 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 51.0 | 361 |
| Mohmand | 10.0 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 27.3 | 381 |
| Orakzai | 9.1 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 40.1 | 364 |
| South Waziristan | 2.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 21.7 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 54.3 | 374 |
| Total | 10.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 41.5 | 3763 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 57.4 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 92.9 | 155 |
| Rural | 8.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 39.3 | 3608 |
| Total | 10.5 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 4.7 | 41.5 | 3763 |

*MICS indicator 11:
*M0
Table EN 1d: Use of Un- Improved Sources of Water for Other Purposes
Proportion of households using un-improved sources of water for other purposes FATA 2007

|  | Un-improved source of water for cocking and washing hands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In houseunprotected well | Unprotecte d well | Unprotecte d spring | Rain water | Tankertruck | Cart with Buckets -drum | River/stream/ dam/lake/ pond | Other (specify) | Total | Number of households |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 5.7 | 14.1 | 33.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 62.0 | 439 |
| FR Bannu | 1.4 | 2.3 | 17.8 | 22.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 48.3 | 0.3 | 92.8 | 348 |
| FR DI Khan | 1.4 | 2.4 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 58.0 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 207 |
| FR Kohat | 1.7 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 22.3 | 291 |
| FR Lakki | 0.7 | 6.7 | 61.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 91.8 | 282 |
| FR Peshawar | 2.5 | 20.7 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 41.5 | 241 |
| FR Tank | 0.0 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 40.3 | 66.1 | 62 |
| Khyber | 4.1 | 10.9 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 28.6 | 413 |
| Kurram | 1.1 | 6.6 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 49.0 | 361 |
| Mohmand | 6.3 | 32.5 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 72.7 | 381 |
| Orakzai | 3.3 | 15.4 | 32.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 59.9 | 364 |
| South Waziristan | 1.1 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 45.7 | 374 |
| Total | 2.8 | 11.4 | 21.6 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 1.5 | 58.5 | 3763 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 155 |
| Rural | 2.9 | 11.8 | 22.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 16.8 | 1.6 | 60.7 | 3608 |
| Total | 2.8 | 11.4 | 21.6 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 1.5 | 58.5 | 3763 |

Table EN 3: Time to source of water
Percentage distribution of households according to time to go to source of drinking water, get water and

|  | Less than 30 minutes | 30 minutes to one hour | More than one hour | Water on premises | Do not Know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Khyber | 28.6 | 45.7 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Kurram | 12.8 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 79.5 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Total | 20.3 | 24.3 | 8.1 | 47.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 11.6 | 42.3 | 19.8 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 5.1 | 42.7 | 47.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 12.7 | 60.3 | 18.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 15.6 | 54.6 | 7.3 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 2.4 | 56.5 | 41.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 35.7 | 31.9 | 17.6 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 4.2 | 54.2 | 29.2 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Khyber | 25.9 | 48.7 | 9.1 | 14.8 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Kurram | 12.3 | 40.5 | 27.5 | 19.3 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 15.6 | 42.4 | 32.1 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 15.5 | 44.0 | 27.2 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 8.5 | 50.1 | 21.5 | 19.3 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Total | 13.7 | 46.4 | 25.2 | 14.4 | 0.2 | 100 |

[^14]Table EN 4: Person collecting water
Percent distribution of households according to the person collecting drinking water used in the household,

|  | Person collecting drinking water |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult Woman | Adult man | Female child under age 15 | Male child under age 15 | Do not know | Total |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 97.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 439 |
| FR Bannu | 96.4 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 348 |
| FR DI Khan | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 207 |
| FR Kohat | 93.4 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 291 |
| FR Lakki | 96.2 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 282 |
| FR Peshawar | 88.5 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 241 |
| FR Tank | 97.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 62 |
| Khyber | 85.9 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 413 |
| Kurram | 98.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 361 |
| Mohmand | 96.5 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 381 |
| Orakzai | 96.8 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 364 |
| South Waziristan | 95.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 374 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 76.09 | 10.87 | 10.87 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 100 | 155 |
| Urban | 95.62 | 2.41 | 1.76 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 100 | 3608 |
| Total | 95.30 | 2.54 | 1.91 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 100 | 3763 |

[^15]Percentage distribution of households population according to type of toilet facility used by the household and the percentage of household population using sanitary means of excreta disposal, FATA, 2007

|  |  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flush toilet connected to public sewerage | Flush toilet connected to septic tank | Pit latrine with flush | Pit latrine without slab | Pit latrine with slab | Open pit | Bucket | Public toilet | Open fields | Other | Total |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 0.0 | 2.7 | 10.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 39.5 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 38.1 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 84.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 73.9 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 0.3 | 8.1 | 25.9 | 16.2 | 6.4 | 26.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.7 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 0.0 | 0.4 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 66.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 0.0 | 23.8 | 13.9 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 5.2 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 5.2 |  | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Khyber | 0.2 | 13.0 | 16.4 | 7.0 | 4.7 | 17.3 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 37.8 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Kurram | 5.7 | 12.3 | 21.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 0.0 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 0.8 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 67.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 2.1 | 4.0 | 10.1 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 1.9 |  | 0.5 | 75.2 |  | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.2 | 35.5 | 20.6 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Rural | 0.5 | 5.0 | 12.1 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 14.5 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 56.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1.0 | 6.3 | 12.4 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 14.2 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 54.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 |

*MDG indicator 31
Table EN 5: Use of Sanitary Means of Excreta Disposal
Table RH.3: Antenatal Care Provider

| Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey by type of personnel p antenatal care, FATA, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person providing antenatal care |  |  |  |  |  | No antenatal care received | Total | Any skilled personnel * | women age group |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women who gave birth in the preceding two years |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Lady <br> Health <br> Visitor | Lady <br> Health <br> Worker | Traditional Birth Attendant/ Dai | Relative / Friend |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15- \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20- \\ 24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25- \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30- \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35- \\ 39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $40-$ $44$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45- \\ 49 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 1.4 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 15.7 | 72.9 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 7 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 70 |
| FR Kohat | 26.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 59.8 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 10 | 37 | 28 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 87 |
| FR <br> Peshawar | 20.5 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 11.9 | 53.6 | 100.0 | 29.1 | 1 | 18 | 34 | 16 | 11 | 59 | 12 | 151 |
| Khyber | 35.5 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 9.3 | 41.5 | 100.0 | 45.6 | 10 | 60 | 58 | 40 | 26 | 10 | 44 | 248 |
| Kurram | 25.0 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 30.8 | 34.3 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 5 | 37 | 46 | 31 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 172 |
| Mohmand | 6.9 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 35.5 | 50.2 | 100.0 | 11.8 | 10 | 41 | 47 | 27 | 19 | 9 | 50 | 203 |
| Orakzai | 5.8 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 52.0 | 25.8 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 8 | 37 | 60 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 41 | 225 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 47.8 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 34.8 | 100.0 | 55.4 | 1 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 21 | 92 |
| Rural | 15.9 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 27.4 | 44.5 | 100.0 | 23.2 | 50 | 232 | 267 | 146 | 102 | 118 | 149 | 1064 |
| Total | 18.4 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 26.0 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 51 | 250 | 290 | 160 | 112 | 123 | 170 | 1156 |

Table RH.5: Assistance during Delivery
Proportion of women aged 15-49 with a birth in two years preceding the survey by type of personnel assisting at

Table RH.6: Maternal Mortality Ratio
Lifetime risk of maternal death and proportion of dead sisters dying of maternal causes, FATA, 2007


| 15-19 | 4044 | 6435 | 17035 | 146 | 9 | 0.107 | 1823 | 0.00 | 6.16 | . | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-24 | 2982 | 6399 | 16939 | 211 | 18 | 0.206 | 3489 | 0.01 | 8.53 | . | . |
| 25-29 | 2417 | 5928 | 15692 | 180 | 13 | 0.343 | 5382 | 0.00 | 7.22 | . | . |
| 30-34 | 1889 | 5088 | 5088 | 170 | 19 | 0.503 | 2559 | 0.01 | 11.18 | . | . |
| 35-39 | 1690 | 4655 | 4655 | 211 | 29 | 0.664 | 3091 | 0.01 | 13.74 | . | . |
| 40-44 | 1461 | 4121 | 4121 | 192 | 27 | 0.802 | 3305 | 0.01 | 14.06 | . | . |
| 45-49 | 1159 | 3133 | 3133 | 162 | 27 | 0.9 | 2820 | 0.01 | 16.67 | . | - |
| 50-54 | 1338 | 3523 | 3523 | 304 | 53 | 0.958 | 3375 | 0.02 | 17.43 | . | . |
| 55-59 | 839 | 2167 | 2167 | 260 | 45 | 0.986 | 2137 | 0.02 | 17.31 | . | . |
| 60+ | 1674 | 3917 | 3917 | 777 | 92 | 1 | 3917 | 0.02 | 11.84 | . | . |
| Total | 19493 | 45366 | 76270 | 2613 | 332 | . | 31898 | 0.01 | 12.71 | 2.8 | 380 |

Table ED.1A: Primary School Net Enrolment Rate, 6 -10 years age

|  | Net enrolment rate male | Number of male children | Net enrolment rate female | Number of female children | Total net enrolment rate* | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 31.8 | 355 | 11.8 | 340 | 22.0 | 695 |
| FR Bannu | 18.6 | 349 | 4.0 | 274 | 12.2 | 623 |
| FR DI Khan | 29.2 | 120 | 9.5 | 116 | 19.5 | 236 |
| FR Kohat | 63.3 | 166 | 43.8 | 130 | 54.7 | 296 |
| FR Lakki | 26.1 | 199 | 9.5 | 158 | 18.8 | 357 |
| FR Peshawar | 50.9 | 171 | 39.6 | 197 | 44.8 | 368 |
| FR Tank | 40.0 | 65 | 15.2 | 33 | 31.6 | 98 |
| Khyber | 54.5 | 367 | 23.7 | 410 | 38.2 | 777 |
| Kurram | 42.0 | 288 | 25.5 | 326 | 33.2 | 614 |
| Mohmand | 35.5 | 296 | 9.8 | 336 | 21.8 | 632 |
| Orakzai | 41.2 | 335 | 11.6 | 310 | 27.0 | 645 |
| South Waziristan | 41.2 | 311 | 12.3 | 243 | 28.5 | 554 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 67.0 | 115 | 48.2 | 114 | 57.6 | 229 |
| Rural | 37.8 | 2907 | 16.0 | 2759 | 27.2 | 5666 |
| Total | 38.9 | 3022 | 17.3 | 2873 | 28.3 | 5895 |

* MICS indicator 55
* MDG indicator 6
Table ED.02A: Middle School Net Enrolment Rate, $10-12$ years age
Percentage of children of middle school age (10-12) enrolled in middle school (NER), FATA, 2007
$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|cccc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Net enrolment } \\ \text { rate male }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of male } \\ \text { children }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Net enrolment } \\ \text { rate female }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { female children }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total net } \\ \text { enrolment } \\ \text { rate* }\end{array} \\ \text { Agency } & & & & \\ \text { Bajour children }\end{array}\right]$
* MDG indicator 6
Table ED.03: Secondary (Metric) School Net Enrolment Rate, 14-16 years age

| Percentage of children of secondary (Metric) school age (14-16) enrolled in secondary (Metric) school (N FATA, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net enrolment rate male | Number of male children | Net enrolment rate female | Number of female children | Total net enrolment rate | Number of children |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 9.0 | 156 | 0.0 | 145 | 4.7 | 301 |
| FR Bannu | 2.9 | 102 | 0.0 | 60 | 1.9 | 162 |
| FR DI Khan | 4.3 | 46 | 3.9 | 51 | 4.1 | 97 |
| FR Kohat | 17.4 | 69 | 3.0 | 67 | 10.3 | 136 |
| FR Lakki | 1.9 | 54 | 0.0 | 53 | 0.9 | 107 |
| FR Peshawar | 16.1 | 93 | 4.0 | 99 | 9.9 | 192 |
| FR Tank | 0.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.0 | 40 |
| Khyber | 10.4 | 192 | 2.0 | 199 | 6.1 | 391 |
| Kurram | 11.9 | 168 | 4.8 | 166 | 8.4 | 334 |
| Mohmand | 3.5 | 143 | 0.0 | 178 | 1.6 | 321 |
| Orakzai | 5.4 | 129 | 0.9 | 113 | 3.3 | 242 |
| South Waziristan | 20.9 | 163 | 0.0 | 114 | 12.3 | 277 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.4 | 64 | 10.8 | 74 | 16.7 | 138 |
| Rural | 9.3 | 1269 | 1.1 | 1193 | 5.3 | 2462 |
| Total | 10.0 | 1333 | 1.7 | 1267 | 5.9 | 2600 |

Table ED.07: Gender Parity Index
Ratio of girls to boys by Net Enrolment Rate in primary and Secondary (Metric) education, FATA, 2007

|  | Primary school Net enrolment rate female | Primary school Net enrolment rate male | $\qquad$ | Secondary school Net enrolment rate female | Secondary school Net enrolment rate male | Gender parity Index (GPI) Secondary school NER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 11.8 | 31.8 | 0.37 | 0 | 9 | 0.00 |
| FR Bannu | 4 | 18.6 | 0.22 | 0 | 2.9 | 0.00 |
| FR DI Khan | 9.5 | 29.2 | 0.33 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 0.91 |
| FR Kohat | 43.8 | 63.3 | 0.69 | 3 | 17.4 | 0.17 |
| FR Lakki | 9.5 | 26.1 | 0.36 | 0 | 1.9 | 0.00 |
| FR Peshawar | 39.6 | 50.9 | 0.78 | 4 | 16.1 | 0.25 |
| FR Tank | 15.2 | 40 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Khyber | 23.7 | 54.5 | 0.43 | 2 | 10.4 | 0.19 |
| Kurram | 25.5 | 42 | 0.61 | 4.8 | 11.9 | 0.40 |
| Mohmand | 9.8 | 35.5 | 0.28 | 0 | 3.5 | 0.00 |
| Orakzai | 11.6 | 41.2 | 0.28 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 0.17 |
| South Waziristan | 12.3 | 41.2 | 0.30 | 0 | 20.9 | 0.00 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 48.2 | 67 | 0.72 | 10.8 | 23.4 | 0.46 |
| Rural | 16 | 37.8 | 0.42 | 1.1 | 9.3 | 0.12 |
| Total | 17.3 | 38.9 | 0.45 | 1.7 | 10 | 0.17 |

[^16]Table ED.10A: Literacy Rate, 10+ years age

## Percentage of literate population 10+ years, FATA, 2007

$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|c|c}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Literacy rate } \\ \text { male }\end{array} & \text { Number of male } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Literacy rate } \\ \text { female }\end{array} & \text { Number of female } & \text { Total }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Total number of } \\ \text { household member }\end{array}\right]$
Table ED.10B: Adult Literacy Rate, 15+ years age

|  | Literacy rate male | Number of male | Literacy rate female | Number of female | Total literacy rate | Total number of household member |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 27.9 | 1071 | 3.1 | 908 | 16.5 | 1979 |
| FR Bannu | 12.8 | 752 | 0.6 | 618 | 7.3 | 1370 |
| FR DI Khan | 21.2 | 377 | 3.9 | 357 | 12.8 | 734 |
| FR Kohat | 57.4 | 721 | 15.6 | 653 | 37.6 | 1374 |
| FR Lakki | 15.5 | 485 | 0.7 | 429 | 8.5 | 914 |
| FR Peshawar | 65.2 | 655 | 10.0 | 691 | 36.8 | 1346 |
| FR Tank | 13.7 | 205 | 0.0 | 164 | 7.6 | 369 |
| Khyber | 57.2 | 1157 | 10.1 | 1104 | 34.2 | 2261 |
| Kurram | 37.9 | 973 | 14.4 | 917 | 26.5 | 1890 |
| Mohmand | 28.5 | 974 | 3.5 | 887 | 16.6 | 1861 |
| Orakzai | 29.5 | 980 | 3.4 | 905 | 17.0 | 1885 |
| South Waziristan | 32.3 | 910 | 4.3 | 719 | 20.0 | 1629 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 71.4 | 419 | 27.5 | 426 | 49.2 | 845 |
| Rural | 34.1 | 8841 | 5.6 | 7926 | 20.6 | 16767 |
| Total | 35.8 | 9260 | 6.7 | 8352 | 22.0 | 17612 |

Table ED.10C: Youth Literacy Rate (15-24 year of age)
Percentage of literate youth between 15 and 24 years of age FATA, 2007

|  | Illiterate | Literate | Total | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 51.8 | 48.2 | 100 | 3269 |
| Female | 87.6 | 12.4 | 100 | 3084 |
| Agency | 75.0 | 25.0 | 100 | 676 |
| Bajour | 84.9 | 15.1 | 100 | 438 |
| FR Bannu | 83.8 | 16.2 | 100 | 235 |
| FR DI Khan | 52.9 | 47.1 | 100 | 476 |
| FR Kohat | 88.7 | 11.3 | 100 | 300 |
| FR Lakki | 53.1 | 46.9 | 100 | 499 |
| FR Peshawar | 81.8 | 18.2 | 100 | 99 |
| FR Tank | 61.2 | 38.8 | 100 | 740 |
| Khyber | 61.3 | 38.7 | 100 | 737 |
| Kurram | 77.0 | 23.0 | 100 | 662 |
| Mohmand | 74.8 | 25.2 | 100 | 606 |
| Orakzai | 67.8 | 32.2 | 100 | 6353 |
| South Waziristan | 69.3 | 30.7 |  | 100 |
| Total |  | 61.6 | 100 | 336 |
| Residence | 38.4 | 29.1 | 100 | 6017 |
| Urban | 70.9 |  |  | 6353 |
| Rural | 69.3 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| MICS Indicator 60 |  |  |  |  |
| MDG Indicator 8 |  |  |  |  |

Table CP 1:Birth Registration
Percent distribution of children aged 0-59 months by whether birth is registered and reasons for non registration,

|  | Birth is not registered because |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of children aged 059 months without birth registration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Birth is registered | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Cost too much | Must travel too far | Didn't know child should be registered | Late, did not want to pay fine | Doesn't know where to register | Other | Don't know |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 0.0 | 407 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 58.4 | 100 | 392 |
| FR Bannu | 0.0 | 294 | 1.4 | 12.7 | 39.2 | 0.5 | 24.5 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 100 | 233 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.0 | 171 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 100 | 128 |
| FR Kohat | 1.0 | 232 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 61.5 | 0.5 | 27.2 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 100 | 213 |
| FR Lakki | 0.6 | 185 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 80.8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 160 |
| FR Peshawar | 0.4 | 291 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 49.3 | 100 | 228 |
| FR Tank | 0.0 | 74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 100 | 71 |
| Khyber | 3.6 | 570 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 52.3 | 100 | 457 |
| Kurram | 2.1 | 430 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 33.3 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 61.4 | 100 | 358 |
| Mohmand | 1.0 | 469 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 27.0 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 68.3 | 100 | 407 |
| Orakzai | 0.5 | 497 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 100 | 435 |
| South Waziristan | 0.0 | 334 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 86.1 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 100 | 290 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.6 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 56.7 | 100 | 174 |
| Rural | 0.8 | 3754 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 43.0 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 44.6 | 100 | 3198 |
| Total | 1.0 | 3954 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 42.3 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 0.6 | 45.3 | 100 | 3372 |

MICS indicator 62
Table CP. 2 : Child Labor
Percentage of children aged 5-14 years who are involved in child labor activities by type of work, FATA, 2007

|  | Working outside household |  | Household chores for 28+ hours/week | Working for family business | Total child labor * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid work | Unpaid work |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 10.0 | 16.4 |
| Female | 1.6 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 18.1 |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 9.6 | 11.0 |
| FR Bannu | 1.2 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 12.5 | 17.9 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.0 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 25.9 | 28.0 |
| FR Kohat | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 4.9 |
| FR Lakki | 1.5 | 3.1 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 16.9 |
| FR Peshawar | 0.4 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 15.2 | 19.3 |
| FR Tank | 8.6 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 16.0 |
| Khyber | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 9.2 |
| Kurram | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 19.4 | 21.0 |
| Mohmand | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 14.1 | 15.6 |
| Orakzai | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 10.8 |
| South Waziristan | 9.2 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 22.8 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| Rural | 1.7 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 8.7 | 17.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 years | 1.0 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 9.6 | 16.0 |
| 12-14 years | 4.5 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 21.3 |
| School participation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 14.2 |
| No | 2.0 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 18.0 |
| Total | 1.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 17.1 |

Table HA 1: HIV AIDS Knowledge and Pre vention

## Proportion of women aged 15-49 having knowledge of HIV AIDS, FATA, 2007

## Knowledge about HIV/AIDS prevention

|  | Knowledge about HIV/AIDS prevention |  |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { knowledge }}$ | Knowledge of 1 prevention method | knowledge of 2 prevention methods | knowledge of 3 prevention methods | Total |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 98.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 70 |
| FR Kohat | 90.8 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 100 | 87 |
| FR Peshawar | 74.2 | 15.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 100 | 151 |
| FR Tank | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 20 |
| Khyber | 76.2 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 8.9 | 100 | 248 |
| Kurram | 87.2 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100 | 172 |
| Mohmand | 93.6 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 203 |
| Orakzai | 95.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 100 | 225 |
| South Waziristan | 74.6 | 19.6 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100 | 138 |
| Total | 88.3 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 100 | 1314 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.5 | 18.5 | 7.6 | 17.4 | 100 | 92 |
| Rural | 90.1 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 100 | 1222 |
| Total | 88.3 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 100 | 1314 |

[^17]Table HC 1: Type of House
Percentage of households by Types of house FATA 2007

|  | Separate house/ compound | Apartment/ flat | Part of house | Part of compound | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 88.2 | 0.5 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 81.8 | 1.2 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 98.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 95.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 78.9 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 88.6 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 96.1 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Khyber | 79.8 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Kurram | 84.2 | 1.0 | 13.4 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 80.6 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 84.2 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.7 | 2.6 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Rural | 86.1 | 0.4 | 11.2 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Total | 85.8 | 0.4 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100 |

## Table HC7: Type of Stove

Proportion of households using stove by types, FATA, 2007

|  | Open Fire <br> place | Open <br> Stove | Covered <br> stove | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 0.2 | 85.6 | 14.2 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 7.4 | 81.1 | 11.5 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 1.0 | 90.3 | 8.7 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 1.2 | 83.5 | 15.3 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 5.6 | 62.0 | 32.4 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 3.8 | 93.2 | 3.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 1.4 | 91.8 | 6.8 | 100 |
| Khyber | 1.4 | 86.0 | 12.6 | 100 |
| Kurram | 2.7 | 76.6 | 20.7 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 1.6 | 94.9 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 9.5 | 45.0 | 45.6 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 0.5 | 97.0 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Total | 3.0 | 81.7 | 15.3 | 100 |
| Residence | 1.1 | 89.8 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Urban | 3.1 | 81.5 | 15.5 | 100 |
| Rural | 3.0 | 81.7 | 15.3 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| MICS FATA indicator |  |  |  | 100 |

Table HC 8: Location of Cooking Place
Location of cooking place, FATA, 2007

|  | With in <br> house | separate <br> kitchen | outside of <br> house | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | 88.0 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Bajour | 69.9 | 28.8 | 1.3 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 83.0 | 5.8 | 11.2 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 63.2 | 29.3 | 7.5 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 52.9 | 46.7 | 0.4 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 60.3 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 74.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 71.4 | 27.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Khyber | 74.1 | 22.9 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Kurram | 83.8 | 13.8 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 92.7 | 7.0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 79.2 | 17.8 | 2.9 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 75.7 | 21.8 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Residence | 61.5 | 37.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Urban | 76.3 | 21.2 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Rural | 75.7 | 21.8 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

## Table HC 10: Source of Media

## Percentage of people using various media sources, FATA, 2007

|  | FM Radio | Government Radio | Television | Newspaper |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 9.6 | 40.2 | 5.3 | 5.1 |
| FR Bannu | 6.8 | 64.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.4 | 22.3 | 5.2 | 0.4 |
| FR Kohat | 1.5 | 35.3 | 38.0 | 14.9 |
| FR Lakki | 5.6 | 85.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| FR Peshawar | 27.7 | 53.3 | 19.3 | 10.9 |
| FR Tank | 12.2 | 68.9 | 14.4 | 1.1 |
| Khyber | 22.8 | 36.9 | 32.3 | 12.1 |
| Kurram | 24.1 | 33.5 | 28.7 | 5.2 |
| Mohmand | 8.5 | 48.5 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
| Orakzai | 25.7 | 20.7 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
| South Waziristan | 33.6 | 46.9 | 6.7 | 9.6 |
| Total | 16.1 | 43.5 | 13.6 | 5.9 |
| Residence | 21.4 | 39.0 | 51.6 | 13.2 |
| Urban | 43.7 | 12.2 | 5.6 |  |
| Rural | 43.5 | 13.6 | 5.9 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| MICS FATA indicator | 16.9 |  |  |  |

## Table HC11: Land Holding

| Proportion of households having landholding (Acres) by size of farm/land, FATA, 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 1acre | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { to }<5 \\ \text { acres } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { to }<10 \\ \text { acres } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { to } \\ <15 \\ \text { acres } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 15 acres and above | Total |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 27.7 | 64.2 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 11.1 | 63.7 | 15.4 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 36.4 | 46.5 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 35.4 | 53.1 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 38.2 | 54.8 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 32.2 | 59.8 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 29.3 | 68.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Khyber | 31.1 | 63.2 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Kurram | 18.7 | 65.1 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 18.6 | 65.9 | 11.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 25.0 | 68.5 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 19.7 | 30.1 | 33.7 | 15.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Total | 25.3 | 59.3 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 27.9 | 67.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Rural | 25.2 | 59.2 | 10.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Total | 25.3 | 59.3 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 100 |

## Table HC14: Persons Employed Outside Village

Proportion of persons employed and their place of employment, FATA, 2007

|  | Persons employed |  |  | Place of employment |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total | Other village/city | Other district/agenc y/FR | Other province | Outside country |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 43.8 | 56.2 | 100 | 37.7 | 1.7 | 48.6 | 12.0 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 25.9 | 74.1 | 100 | 20.8 | 16.7 | 20.8 | 41.7 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 18.4 | 81.6 | 100 | 50.0 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 16.7 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 49.2 | 50.8 | 100 | 50.8 | 5.7 | 23.8 | 19.7 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 49.3 | 50.7 | 100 | 26.6 | 50.0 | 19.1 | 4.3 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 67.5 | 32.5 | 100 | 26.4 | 5.5 | 35.0 | 33.1 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 42.9 | 57.1 | 100 | 46.9 | 12.5 | 18.8 | 21.9 | 100 |
| Khyber | 41.1 | 58.9 | 100 | 54.5 | 11.4 | 24.6 | 9.6 | 100 |
| Kurram | 42.5 | 57.5 | 100 | 30.5 | 9.7 | 12.3 | 47.4 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 29.6 | 70.4 | 100 | 28.3 | 8.5 | 35.8 | 27.4 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 32.2 | 67.8 | 100 | 32.4 | 6.3 | 18.9 | 42.3 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 49.2 | 50.8 | 100 | 30.0 | 7.1 | 42.4 | 20.6 | 100 |
| Total | 40.7 | 59.3 | 100 | 35.8 | 10.3 | 29.5 | 24.4 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.2 | 54.8 | 100 | 47.6 | 6.3 | 14.3 | 31.7 | 100 |
| Rural | 40.5 | 59.5 | 100 | 35.3 | 10.5 | 30.2 | 24.0 | 100 |
| Total | 40.7 | 59.3 | 100 | 35.8 | 10.3 | 29.5 | 24.4 | 100 |

## Table HC 15 A: Ownership of House

## Percentage of households who own house, FATA, 2007

|  | Own | Rented | Government/ <br> subsidized | Without rent | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 86.2 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 10.2 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 97.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 99.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 96.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Khyber | 98.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Kurram | 84.1 | 11.3 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 98.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 97.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 97.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Total | 95.4 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.4 | 16.1 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Rural | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| Total |  |  | 2.5 | 100 |  |
| MICS FATA indicator |  |  |  |  | 100 |

## Table HC16: Remittances Received by Households

Proportion of households receive remittances from inside the country and abroad, FATA, 2007

|  | Remittances received from inside country |  |  |  | Remittances received from abroad |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total | Number of households | Yes | No | Total | Number of households |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 38.9 | 61.1 | 100 | 170 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 100 | 23 |
| FR Bannu | 21.2 | 78.8 | 100 | 74 | 9.9 | 90.1 | 100 | 34 |
| FR DI Khan | 15.1 | 84.9 | 100 | 31 | 2.4 | 97.6 | 100 | 5 |
| FR Kohat | 39.5 | 60.5 | 100 | 117 | 9.2 | 90.8 | 100 | 27 |
| FR Lakki | 56.7 | 43.3 | 100 | 152 | 1.9 | 98.1 | 100 | 5 |
| FR Peshawar | 54.7 | 45.3 | 100 | 116 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 100 | 51 |
| FR Tank | 53.1 | 46.9 | 100 | 26 | 19.2 | 80.8 | 100 | 10 |
| Khyber | 46.6 | 53.4 | 100 | 178 | 5.5 | 94.5 | 100 | 20 |
| Kurram | 32.6 | 67.4 | 100 | 117 | 16.2 | 83.8 | 100 | 58 |
| Mohmand | 22.3 | 77.7 | 100 | 84 | 8.5 | 91.5 | 100 | 32 |
| Orakzai | 28.1 | 71.9 | 100 | 102 | 13.7 | 86.3 | 100 | 48 |
| South Waziristan | 42.8 | 57.2 | 100 | 145 | 14.0 | 86.0 | 100 | 45 |
| Total | 36.1 | 63.9 | 100 | 1312 | 10.1 | 89.9 | 100 | 358 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 53.2 | 46.8 | 100 | 75 | 15.6 | 84.4 | 100 | 21 |
| Rural | 35.4 | 64.6 | 100 | 1237 | 9.9 | 90.1 | 100 | 337 |
| Total | 36.1 | 63.9 | 100 | 1312 | 10.1 | 89.9 | 100 | 358 |

MICS FATA indicator

## Table HC 17; Money Received As Donation/Zakat/Support

| Percentage of household whoreceived money as donation/zakat/support, <br> FATA, $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total |
| Agency |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 0.5 | 99.5 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 0.9 | 99.1 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.5 | 99.5 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 0.0 | 100 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 0.4 | 99.6 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 4.5 | 95.5 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 5.5 | 94.5 | 100 |
| Khyber | 2.2 | 97.8 | 100 |
| Kurram | 0.5 | 99.5 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 0.3 | 99.7 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 2.2 | 97.8 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 5.5 | 94.5 | 100 |
| Total | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  | 100 |
| Urban | 2.1 | 97.9 | 100 |
| Rural | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100 |
| Total |  | 98.4 |  |
| MICS FATA indicator |  |  | 100 |

## Table HC18: Seasonal Migration

Proportion of households who migrated last year, FATA, 2007

|  | Family members migrated last year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total |
| Agency | 2.0 | 98.0 | 100 |
| Bajour | 5.7 | 94.3 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 1.4 | 98.6 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.7 | 99.3 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 2.1 | 97.9 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 10.5 | 89.5 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 3.9 | 96.1 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 6.2 | 93.8 | 100 |
| Khyber | 2.3 | 97.7 | 100 |
| Kurram | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 1.6 | 98.4 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 12.8 | 87.2 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 4.3 | 95.7 | 100 |
| Total |  | 85.6 | 100 |
| Residence | 14.4 | 96.2 | 100 |
| Urban | 3.8 | 95.7 | 100 |
| Rural | 4.3 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 100 |
| MICS FATA indicator |  |  |  |

## Table HC 19: Physical access to School

Physical access to school FATA 2007

|  | With in <br> village/ward | Outside <br> village/ward | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | 40.2 | 59.8 | 100 |
| Bajour | 50.6 | 49.4 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 79.2 | 20.8 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 41.4 | 58.6 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 77.5 | 22.5 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 67.1 | 32.9 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 57.9 | 42.1 | 100 |
| Khyber | 57.1 | 42.9 | 100 |
| Kurram | 56.4 | 43.6 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 33.3 | 66.7 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 22.0 | 78.0 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 51.2 | 48.8 | 100 |
| Total | 76.8 | 23.2 | 100 |
| Residence | 50.1 | 49.9 | 100 |
| Urban | 51.2 | 48.8 | 100 |
| Rural |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MICS FATA indicator |  |  |  |

## Table HC 19a: Physical access to School

## Physical access to school by type of distance, FATA, 2007

|  | Distance to School |  |  | Do not know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 2 km (1/2hrs) | $\begin{gathered} 2-5 \mathrm{~km} \\ (0.5-1 \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | Above 5 km ( $>1 \mathrm{hr}$ ) |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 42.1 | 28.4 | 23.6 | 5.9 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 57.1 | 26.1 | 11.8 | 4.9 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 18.6 | 7.0 | 51.2 | 23.3 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 82.4 | 14.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 32.4 | 64.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 49.6 | 39.3 | 8.5 | 2.6 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 17.2 | 37.9 | 31.0 | 13.8 | 100 |
| Khyber | 52.0 | 34.8 | 11.5 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Kurram | 26.9 | 30.2 | 41.2 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 38.9 | 36.2 | 23.5 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 34.3 | 28.3 | 31.9 | 5.6 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 23.7 | 45.3 | 22.5 | 8.5 | 100 |
| Total | 42.1 | 32.6 | 20.9 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.0 | 42.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 41.8 | 32.3 | 21.3 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Total | 42.1 | 32.6 | 20.9 | 4.4 | 100 |

Table HC 20: Availability of Facilities
Percentage of people having facilities by kind, FATA, 2007

|  | Electricity | Gas | Radio | TV | Telephone | Mobile phone | Computer | Internet | Fridge/ Freezer | AC | Washing Machine | Air <br> Cooler |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 77.3 | 2.3 | 49.2 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 17.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 13.4 | 2.0 | 8.9 | 68.9 |
| FR Bannu | 23.3 | 0.3 | 67.3 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 34.5 |  | 0.3 | 1.9 |  | 2.6 | 15.4 |
| FR DI Khan | 72.0 |  | 28.5 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 11.6 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 61.8 |
| FR Kohat | 99.7 | 2.7 | 55.9 | 43.8 | 39.9 | 40.7 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 61.7 | 22.9 | 43.4 | 96.0 |
| FR Lakki | 08.7 | 0.5 | 87.6 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 56.3 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 3.4 | 7.4 |
| FR Peshawar | 94.7 | 1.7 | 74.9 | 39.3 | 21.9 | 53.7 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 25.0 | 2.1 | 37.7 | 95.5 |
| FR Tank | 70.5 |  | 96.2 | 15.4 | 11.5 |  | 2.6 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 2.6 | 14.1 | 55.1 |
| Khyber | 99.3 | 8.4 | 73.0 | 45.0 | 33.9 | 58.8 | 12.4 | 3.9 | 58.1 | 34.1 | 49.7 | 86.7 |
| Kurram | 63.7 | 6.0 | 61.6 | 39.5 | 26.0 | 42.0 | 9.0 | 4.7 | 24.7 | 5.9 | 27.8 | 48.3 |
| Mohmand | 72.3 | 2.6 | 57.4 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 17.5 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 18.8 | 5.2 | 16.2 | 62.7 |
| Orakzai | 68.6 | 2.5 | 55.7 | 14.0 | 18.3 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 41.8 |
| South Waziristan | 61.3 | 0.8 | 80.7 | 9.9 | 16.1 |  | 2.2 | 1.3 | 10.7 |  | 7.8 | 28.9 |
| Total | 69.7 | 2.9 | 64.1 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 29.6 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 22.1 | 7.6 | 19.7 | 57.0 |
| Domain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 15.2 | 74.8 | 69.5 | 45.5 | 76.1 | 17.6 | 8.0 | 85.6 | 38.6 | 70.3 | 85.6 |
| Rural | 68.4 | 2.3 | 63.6 | 18.8 | 17.1 | 27.6 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 15.8 | 6.3 | 17.5 | 55.8 |
| Total | 69.7 | 2.9 | 64.1 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 29.6 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 22.1 | 7.6 | 19.7 | 57.0 |

Table HC 22: Population by agency and age-group in FAT A, MICS 2007

| Age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | 70+ | Total |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 11.0 | 16.8 | 14.2 | 11.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 11.9 | 21.5 | 14.2 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 11.4 | 17.7 | 14.6 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 10.4 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 12.8 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 10.2 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 12.5 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 10.9 | 16.8 | 11.7 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 9.9 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Khyber | 13.0 | 16.4 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Kurram | 11.9 | 15.1 | 14.4 | 13.5 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 12.9 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 13.1 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Orakzai South | 13.7 | 17.9 | 13.1 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Waziristan | 10.8 | 18.6 | 16.5 | 13.1 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 13.6 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 12.0 | 17.1 | 14.4 | 11.6 | 8.6 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Total | 12.1 | 16.9 | 14.4 | 11.7 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 100 |

## Table HC 23: Owned Livestock Last Year

| Proportion of households having livestock last year, FATA, 2007 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total |
| Agency |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 95.7 | 4.3 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 90.9 | 9.1 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 94.7 | 5.3 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 86.9 | 13.1 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 88.9 | 11.1 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 89.8 | 10.2 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Khyber | 74.1 | 25.9 | 100 |
| Kurram | 78.4 | 21.6 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 94.8 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 92.1 | 7.9 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 98.1 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Total | 89.3 | 10.7 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  | 100 |
| Urban | 51.0 | 49.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 90.9 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Total | 89.3 | 10.7 | 100 |
| MICS FATA indicator |  |  | 100 |

Table MN8:Institutional Deliveries

|  | Home | Others home | Institutional Delivery |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of women who have given birth to a child |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Government |  |  | Private |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Government Hospital | Governm ent clinic/he alth center | Governm ent other (specify) | Private hospital | Private clinic | Private MCH |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 95.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 | 70 |
| FR Kohat | 84.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100 | 87 |
| FR Peshawar | 74.7 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 100 | 151 |
| FR Tank | 87.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 21 |
| Khyber | 37.2 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100 | 263 |
| Kurram | 64.4 | 0.0 | 24.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 179 |
| Mohmand | 89.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100 | 205 |
| Orakzai | 86.9 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 100 | 225 |
| South Waziristan | 65.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 100 | 140 |
| Total | 76.2 | 0.1 | 14.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 100 | 1341 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 25.7 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 100 | 92 |
| Rural | 79.2 | 0.1 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 100 | 1249 |
| Total | 76.2 | 0.1 | 14.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 100 | 1341 |

[^18]
## Table TT1: TT Shots Received

## Proportion of women having birth to live child during last 2 years and received TT

 shots, FATA, 2007|  | TT1-Women give live birth in the past two years FATA 2007 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes-card shown | Yes-card not <br> shown | No | Do not know | Total

MICS FATA indicator
Table TT1a : TT Shots Coverage
Proportion of women aged 15-49 who received TT coverage during last pregnancy, FATA, 2007

|  | TT during last pregnancy |  |  | Total | Last pregnancy TT covering by seen and recall |  |  |  | Total | Number of women who have given birth to a child |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Do not know |  | Yes-card shown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes-card } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { shown } \end{aligned}$ | No | Do not know |  |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 9.8 | 88.5 | 1.6 | 100 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 85.2 | 6.6 | 100 | 70 |
| FR Kohat | 46.9 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 100 | 3.7 | 42.7 | 53.7 | 0.0 | 100 | 87 |
| FR Peshawar | 43.8 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 29.9 | 18.6 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 151 |
| FR Tank | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 21 |
| Khyber | 60.1 | 38.3 | 1.6 | 100 | 27.0 | 28.2 | 44.0 | 0.8 | 100 | 263 |
| Kurram | 40.9 | 58.6 | 0.6 | 100 | 15.8 | 21.2 | 62.5 | 0.5 | 100 | 179 |
| Mohmand | 18.2 | 80.5 | 1.4 | 100 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 74.9 | 2.2 | 100 | 205 |
| Orakzai | 20.7 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 6.2 | 10.7 | 82.7 | 0.4 | 100 | 225 |
| South Waziristan | 27.4 | 62.3 | 10.3 | 100 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 67.3 | 6.0 | 100 | 140 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.2 | 26.7 | 1.1 | 100 | 32.6 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 2.4 | 100 | 92 |
| Rural | 25.6 | 71.7 | 2.7 | 100 | 11.6 | 14.5 | 71.5 | 2.3 | 100 | 1249 |
| Total | 28.0 | 69.4 | 2.6 | 100 | 12.7 | 15.5 | 69.5 | 2.3 | 100 | 1341 |

[^19]Table TT5: TT Shots Received
Proportion of women having birth to live child during last 2 years and received TT shots, FATA, 2007
TT in last pregnancy
TT before last pregnancy


$\therefore$
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|  | TT in last pregnancy |  |  |  | TT before last pregnancy |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Do not know | Yes | No | Do not know |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 10.17 | 88.14 | 1.69 | 7.02 | 87.72 | 5.26 |  |
| FR Kohat | 48.72 | 51.28 | 0.0 | 7.84 | 90.20 | 1.96 |  |
| FR Peshawar | 46.27 | 53.73 | 0.0 | 31.68 | 66.34 | 1.98 |  |
| Khyber | 61.61 | 36.49 | 1.90 | 39.20 | 56.80 | 4.00 |  |
| Kurram | 38.79 | 60.61 | 0.61 | 28.77 | 70.55 | 0.68 |  |
| Mohmand | 19.39 | 79.08 | 1.53 | 13.41 | 86.03 | 0.56 |  |
| Orakzai | 22.34 | 77.66 | 0.0 | 15.58 | 83.77 | 0.65 |  |
| Total | 36.86 | 62.27 | 0.87 | 22.02 | 76.26 | 1.72 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 74.12 | 24.71 | 1.18 | 58.54 | 39.02 | 2.44 |  |
| Rural | 33.51 | 65.64 | 0.85 | 20.08 | 78.24 | 1.68 |  |
| Total | 36.86 | 62.27 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^20]Table TT6: TT Shots Received
Proportion of women having birth to live child during last 2 years and received TT shots, FATA, 2007
If Yes then No. of times
둥

|  | If Yes then No . of times |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 60.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 0.00 | 16.22 | 8.11 | 59.46 | 5.41 | 10.81 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 0.0 | 3.51 | 85.96 | 8.77 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 100 |
| Khyber | 0.80 | 2.40 | 69.60 | 25.60 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 100 |
| Kurram | 0.00 | 3.13 | 31.25 | 59.38 | 3.13 | 3.13 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 0.00 | 5.41 | 62.16 | 27.03 | 2.70 | 2.70 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 0.00 | 2.38 | 64.29 | 30.95 | 0.0 | 2.38 | 100 |
| Total | 0.27 | 4.36 | 57.49 | 33.51 | 1.91 | 2.45 | 100 |
| Residency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.67 | 1.67 | 71.67 | 20.00 | 1.67 | 3.33 | 100 |
| Rural | 0.0 | 4.89 | 54.72 | 36.16 | 1.95 | 2.28 | 100 |
| Total | 0.27 | 4.36 | 57.49 | 33.51 | 1.91 | 2.45 | 100 |

[^21]Table VA1: Vitamin A taken
Proportion of children aged below 5 years who taken Vitamin-A, FATA, 2007

|  | Vitamin A eaten |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Do not know | Total |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 87.67 | 12.33 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| FR Kohat | 89.62 | 9.43 | 0.94 | 100.00 |
| FR Peshawar | 74.18 | 23.64 | 2.18 | 100.00 |
| Khyber | 77.16 | 20.35 | 2.50 | 100.00 |
| Kurram | 75.19 | 23.54 | 1.27 | 100.00 |
| Mohmand | 77.19 | 22.12 | 0.69 | 100.00 |
| Orakzai | 60.65 | 38.70 | 0.65 | 100.00 |
| Total | 75.11 | 23.58 | 1.31 | 100.00 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.37 | 11.05 | 1.58 | 100.00 |
| Rural | 74.08 | 24.63 | 1.29 | 100.00 |
| Total | 75.11 | 23.58 | 1.31 | 100.00 |
| MICS FATA Indicator |  |  |  |  |

Table VA2: Vitamin A taken by Months
Proportion of children aged below 5 years who have taken Vitamin A by months, FATA, 2007

|  | When did Vitamin A taken-months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 <br> Month | 1 <br> Month | 2 <br> Month |  | 4 <br> Month |  |  |  |  |  | 10 Month | 11 Month | 12 <br> Month |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 9.4 | 74.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 90.5 | 7.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 0.0 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 9.1 | 16.2 | 12.2 | 0.5 | 49.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Khyber | 26.8 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 12.2 | 3.0 | 33.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Kurram | 8.5 | 25.6 | 15.7 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 22.1 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 21.3 | 30.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 25.6 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 5.5 | 14.3 | 8.8 | 12.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.7 | 42.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Total | 21.5 | 20.3 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 28.3 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.83 | 5.49 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 3.66 | 4.27 | 31.71 | 3.66 | 38.41 | 1.83 | 0.61 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 23.49 | 21.85 | 5.42 | 3.78 | 3.90 | 3.02 | 8.00 | 1.32 | 27.27 | 1.01 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 100 |
| Total | 21.46 | 20.32 | 5.31 | 3.82 | 3.88 | 3.14 | 10.22 | 1.54 | 28.31 | 1.08 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 100 |
| MICS FATA in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table VA3: Vitamin A taken by source

## Proportion of children aged below 5 years who took Vitamin-A by source, FATA, 2007



MICS FATA indicator

## Table CA5: Children aged below 5 years having Cough

## Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with Cough in the last two weeks, FATA, 2007

|  | Cough in past 2 weeks |  |  | Number of <br> children aged 0- <br> 59 <br> months with <br> Cough |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Do not know |  |
| Agency |  |  |  | 7 |
| FR DI Khan | 4.93 | 95.07 | 0.00 | 26 |
| FR Kohat | 12.81 | 87.19 | 0.00 | 44 |
| FR Peshawar | 19.47 | 80.53 | 0.00 | 73 |
| Khyber | 14.96 | 85.04 | 0.00 | 54 |
| Kurram | 14.44 | 85.29 | 0.27 | 56 |
| Mohmand | 13.37 | 86.63 | 0.00 | 63 |
| Orakzai | 14.13 | 85.87 | 0.00 | 323 |
| Total | 14.06 | 85.90 | 0.04 |  |
| Residence |  |  |  | 29 |
| Urban | 15.51 | 83.96 | 0.53 | 294 |
| Rural | 13.93 | 86.07 | 0.00 | 323 |
| Total | 14.06 | 85.90 | 0.04 |  |

MICS FATA Indicator

## Table CA 10: Medicine given for Illness

## Proportion of children aged below 5 years who got sick and received medication, FATA, 2007

|  | Medicine given for illness |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |  |
| Agency |  |  |  |
| FR DI Khan | 40.0 | 60.0 |  |
| FR Kohat | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 78.7 | 21.3 | 100 |
| Khyber | 90.9 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Kurram | 92.3 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 97.6 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 86.2 | 13.8 | 100 |
| Total | 88.3 | 11.7 | 100 |
| Residence | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| Urban | 87.1 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 88.3 | 12.9 | 100 |
| Total |  |  | 100 |
| MICS FATA Indicator |  |  |  |

## Table CA 6: Prevalence of Cough (Suspected TB)

## Proportion of population having cough more than three weeks, FATA, 2007

|  | Cough more than three <br> weeks | Total number of <br> nousehold members |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | 0.0 | 3411 |
| Bajour | 0.0 | 2615 |
| FR Bannu | 0.0 | 1303 |
| FR DI Khan | 0.0 | 2120 |
| FR Kohat | 0.0 | 1699 |
| FR Lakki | 0.3 | 2295 |
| FR Peshawar | 0.3 | 608 |
| FR Tank | 0.6 | 4054 |
| Khyber | 0.2 | 3225 |
| Kurram | 0.1 | 3362 |
| Mohmand | 2.7 | 3406 |
| Orakzai | 0.5 | 3015 |
| South Waziristan | 0.5 | 31113 |
| Total |  |  |
| Residence | 0.1 | 1452 |
| Urban | 0.5 | 29661 |
| Rural | 0.5 | 31113 |
| Total |  |  |

Table WS 10 A: Washing Hands after using Toilet
Percentage of person who wash hands after using toilet, FATA, 2007

|  | All members with soap | All members without soap | Few members with soap | few members without soap | Do not Wash | No reply | Do not know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 13.3 | 71.9 | 12.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 0.6 | 76.1 | 5.1 | 9.9 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 6.8 | 49.3 | 16.4 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 37.2 | 21.8 | 32.9 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 0.0 | 85.6 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 25.7 | 55.9 | 15.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 1.3 | 81.8 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Khyber | 25.6 | 55.3 | 11.0 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Kurram | 27.2 | 61.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 9.7 | 74.7 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 1.9 | 75.4 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 16.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 12.5 | 66.1 | 15.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Total | 14.5 | 64.5 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.0 | 38.1 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 13.0 | 65.6 | 11.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Total | 14.5 | 64.5 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 years | 1.0 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 9.6 | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| 12-14 years | 4.5 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 21.3 |  |  |  |
| School participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 14.2 |  |  |  |
| No | 2.0 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 18.0 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 17.1 |  |  |  |

Table WS10 B Washing Hands before Taking Meal

|  | All members with soap | All members without soap | Few members with soap | few members without soap | Do not Wash | No reply | Do not know | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bajour | 8.1 | 76.9 | 12.4 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| FR Bannu | 1.1 | 79.8 | 3.1 | 11.7 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR DI Khan | 3.9 | 54.6 | 9.2 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Kohat | 12.4 | 19.8 | 54.7 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Lakki | 0.0 | 98.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Peshawar | 19.2 | 65.3 | 13.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| FR Tank | 0.0 | 90.9 | 6.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Khyber | 21.1 | 61.3 | 10.6 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Kurram | 20.3 | 66.6 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Mohmand | 8.6 | 77.5 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Orakzai | 1.4 | 77.0 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| South Waziristan | 9.9 | 77.3 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Total | 9.8 | 70.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 44.5 | 43.9 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Rural | 8.4 | 71.1 | 10.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Total | 9.8 | 70.0 | 10.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |

[^22]
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